Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

| Program authority: | 6–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g) | | | FOR TE | A USE ONLY OGA ID here: | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Grant Period | February 1, 201 | 7, to July 31, 2 | 2020, pend | ing future federal alloca | ions | | |
| Application deadline: | 5:00 p.m. Central Time, September 15, 2016 | | | 000 | te stamp here. | | |
| Submittal information: | Three complete copies of the application, printed on one side only. All copies must have an original signature (blue ink preferred) of the person authorized to bind the applicant in a contract. Applications must be received no later than the aforementioned time and date at this address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration Texas Education Agency, 1701 North Congress Ave Austin, TX 78701-1494 | | | cumani Contro | TOXAS ETROPTION AGENT | | |
| Contact information: | Leticia Govea: le | ticia.govea@ | tea.texas.g | ov; (512) 463-1427 | 3 | 5.07 | |
| | | Schedule #1 | —General | Information | | | Top 1 |
| Part 1: Applicant Infor | mation | | | | | ggysseeds staken gesteregt. | entrette en titet in en er en steente en entrette. |
| Organization name | County-District | # | Campus | name/# | Am | nendment # | |
| Nacogdoches ISD | 174904 Fredonia Elementary School | | | NA | | | |
| Vendor ID # | | | | JNS # | | | |
| 75-600219 | 7 (VII) | | | | 096 | 0960286590000 | |
| Mailing address | | | | City | Sta | ite Z | IP Code |
| 420 S. Shawnee | | | | Nacogdoches | TX | 7 | 5961 |
| Primary Contact | | | | | | | |
| First name | M.I. | Last name | Title | | | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Chanté | | Davis | Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs | | | | |
| Telephone # | Email address | | FAX# | | | | |
| 936.569.5000 | 6.569.5000 cdavis@nacisd.org 930 | | 936.569.5 | 5745 | | | |
| Secondary Contact | | | | | | | |
| First name | M.I. | Last name | | Title | · | | |
| Sandra | | Dowdy | | Interim Superintendent | | | |
| Telephone # | Email address | | | FAX# | | | |
| 936.569.5000 | sdowdy@nacisd.org | | 936.569.5745 | | | | |

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

Authorized Official:

First name M.I. Last name Title

Sandra Dowdy Interim Superintendent

Telephone # Email address FAX #

936.569.5000 <u>sdowdy@nacisd.org</u> 936.569.5745

Signature (blue ink preferred)

Date signed

andra Doude

9-28-16

701-16-105-029

| Schedule #1—Gene | ral Information | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | |
| Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applications | | | | |

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

| Schedule | Calcadata Nana | Application Type | |
|----------|---|------------------|-------------|
| # | Schedule Name | New | Amended |
| 1 | General Information | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| 2 | Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 4 | Request for Amendment | N/A | \boxtimes |
| 5 | Program Executive Summary | | |
| 6 | Program Budget Summary | | |
| 7 | Payroll Costs (6100) | See | |
| 8 | Professional and Contracted Services (6200) | important | |
| 9 | Supplies and Materials (6300) | Note for | |
| 10 | Other Operating Costs (6400) | Competitive | |
| 11 | Capital Outlay (6600) | Grants* | |
| 12 | Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds | | |
| 13 | Needs Assessment | \boxtimes | |
| 14 | Management Plan | | |
| 15 | Project Evaluation | | |
| 16 | Responses to Statutory Requirements | | |
| 17 | Responses to TEA Requirements | | |
| 18 | Equitable Access and Participation | | |

*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required. If it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #2—Required Attachments and F | Provisions and Assurances |
|---|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Part 1: Required Attachments | |

The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-related documents that are required to be submitted with the application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appendix).

| # | Applicant Type | Name of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment |
|--------|---|--|
| No fis | scal-related attachments are requ | ired for this grant. |
| # | Name of Required Program-Related Attachment | Description of Required Program-Related Attachment |
| No pi | ogram-related attachments are re | equired for this grant |
| Part : | 2: Acceptance and Compliance | |

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances.

Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and

require a separate certification.

| X | Acceptance and Compliance | |
|-------------|--|--|
| \boxtimes | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines. | |
| \boxtimes | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant. | |
| \boxtimes | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements. | |
| \boxtimes | I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements. | |
| \boxtimes | I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements. | |
| \boxtimes | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances requirements. | |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| TORGO Education rigidity | Ctandara / (ppiloation Cystem (C/ (C) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Provisi | lons and Assurances | | | | | |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | | | |
| Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances | | | | | | |

I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.

| \boxtimes | certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below. |
|-------------|--|
| # | Provision/Assurance |
| 1. | The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy. |
| 2. | The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public. |
| 3. | The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds. |
| 4. | The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant LEA and the eligible campus for which the application is being submitted. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified. |
| 5. | The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements: Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it includes these terms in its contract or provisions. Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality. Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA. The LEA provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. |
| 7. | Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors. The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Transformation Model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements: 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that- i. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms. |

| | For TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

- iii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and create community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and

Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an education management organization (EMO)).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas State-Design Model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS).

By implementing an ECHS, the LEA/campus is delivering a whole-school reform model that:

- Improves student academic achievement or attainment
- Is implemented for all students in the school

8.

- Addresses in a comprehensive and coordinated manner:
 - o improvement in school leadership
 - o improvement in teaching and learning in academic content areas
 - professional learning for educators
 - student non-academic supports

In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

 Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period; Fall 2017.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

- Provide a rigorous course of study that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete
 the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum; or an associate's degree; or
 at least 60 credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- 3. Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.
- 4. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness, in a manner consistent with the requirements of the federal school improvement grant Transformation model. I doing so, the LEA/campus must use rigorous, transparent and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that take into account data on student growth as a significant factor, as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas statedefined criteria in TEC §29.081, and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, the LEA/campus will have:
 - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school;
 - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
 - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
 - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2017), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an ECHS. Key partnerships include:
 - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
 - i. Is marked by a signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation.
 - ii. Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation:
 - iii. Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
 - iv. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
 - (B) Contract/partner with a Texas ECHS demonstration site or other Texas ECHS that has retained designation for at least the last four consecutive years and assessed as exemplary using the Texas ECHS Blueprint, or other ECHS selected as a match partner site by the TEA.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (February 1, 2017), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.

- 8. Once designated, the LEA/campus will work with a TEA approved Texas ECHS technical assistance provider, and fulfill any conditions required to maintain TEA designation status.
- 9. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
 - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

- (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2018), have curriculum in place that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate.

 The plan must provide pathways to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

10. By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.

- 11. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program that operates with:
 - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
 - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
 - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
 - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29.908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: <u>Commissioner's Rules Concerning Early College Education Program</u>

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas ECHS designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2018-2019 school year.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Early Learning Intervention Model</u>, the campus will implement in an elementary school and in accordance with the following federal and state requirements:

1. Implement in an elementary school that is eligible under this grant program; further assuring that any student receiving services funded through the grant program is enrolled in the grantee school.

2. Offer full-day kindergarten.

9.

3. Establish or expand a high-quality preschool program. A high-quality program includes structural elements that are evidence-based and nationally recognized as important for ensuring quality. Implementation under this grant program must meet the requirements of a high-quality preschool program, as defined in the U.S. Department of Education's Preschool Development Grants program. Under this definition, program must have:

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

- (A) High staff qualifications, including a teacher with a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or a bachelor's degree in any field with a state-approved alternative pathway;
- (B) High-quality professional development for all staff;
- (C) A child-to-instructional staff ratio of no more than 10 to 1;
- (D) A class size of no more than 20 with, at a minimum, one teacher with high staff qualifications;
- (E) A full-day program;
- (F) Inclusion of children with disabilities to ensure access to and full participation in all opportunities;
- (G) Developmentally appropriate, culturally and linguistically responsive curricula, and learning environments that are aligned with the state early learning and development standards for at least the year prior to kindergarten entry;
- (H) Individualized accommodations and supports so that all children can access and participate fully in learning activities;
- (I) Instructional staff salaries that are comparable to the salaries of local K-12 instructional staff;
- (J) Program evaluation to ensure continuous improvement;
- (K) On-site or accessible comprehensive services for children and community partnerships that promote families' access to services that support their children's learning and development;
- (L) Evidence-based health and safety standards.
- 4. Provide educators, including preschool teachers, time for joint planning across grade levels.
- 5. Replace the principal who led the school prior to the commencement of the early learning model.
- 6. Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
 - (A) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have
 increased student achievement and high school graduation and completion rates; and identify and remove
 those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice,
 have not done so.
- Implement strategies such as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain high quality educators.
- 9. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is:
 - (A) Research-based;
 - (B) Developmentally appropriate;
 - (C) Vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
 - (D) Promotes academic content across a range of development: math and science, literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, self-regulation, and executive functions.
- 10. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 11. Provide staff with ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development such as coaching and mentoring that is:
 - (A) Aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program
 - (B) Designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to implement school reform strategies.
- 12. Operate in compliance with all regulations in the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines (PKG).
- 13. Utilize Texas State Board of Education approved pre-kindergarten instructional materials.
- 14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), CLI Engage platform, and utilize the Texas School Readyl child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Turnaround Model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

11.

- Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates:
- 2. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - (B) Select new staff
- Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school
- 4. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- 5. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;
- Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- 7. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - (A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - (B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - (C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
- 9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students. If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Whole-School Reform Model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.
 - (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing wholeschool reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard, published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:
 - (A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.
 - (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
 - (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation
 with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The
 whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA | \ Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Tex | as Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|-----|---|--|
| 18. | The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campu orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic me Improvements in Education Conference, and sharing of best practices. | eetings of grantees, the Advancing |
| 19. | The applicant provides assurance that it will continue to fully engage in all a Accountability Interventions System (TAIS) framework; regardless of mode All TTIPS grant awarded schools are required to submit an annual improve reports documenting school's continuous processes around data analysis, implementation and monitoring; as delineated in the TAIS framework. If awarded under this grant opportunity, the applicant also provides assurate effort to align and complement existing school improvement strategies, goal approved TTIPS grant, in order to effectively deliver a single and comprehense. | el selected for implementation. ement plan and quarterly progress needs assessment, planning, nce that it will engage in necessary als and interventions in their final |
| 20. | The applicant provides assurance that at the close of the pre-implementation Implementation Readiness Portfolio to the TEA TTIPS program office. Spe included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA. The applicant understands that support specialists in the TEA TTIPS program review and assessment of the Implementation Readiness Portfolio and qual observations and staff interviews. The applicant assures it will engage with clarifications and adjustments to the portfolio, based on the review and assessment assures. | on period, it will prepare and submit an cific requirements for the portfolio are arm office will conduct a comprehensive alitative data obtained through onsite the TEA program office to provide |
| 21. | The applicant provides assurances that it will participate in and make use c support provided by TEA and/or its subcontractors. | of technical assistance and coaching |
| 22. | The applicant will participate in formative assessments of the LEA's capaci grant intervention models. | ty and commitment to carry out the |
| 23. | The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus b | y TEA and its contractors. |
| 24. | The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet federal requirements will I A list of required data elements is included in the Program Guidelines for the | |

| Fo | r TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver.

Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: **accelerated achievement**, **system transformation**, and **sustained reform**.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- Sense of urgent need for change
- High expectations for results
- . Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- · Organizational structures
- · Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Vision and Focus for School Reform

Nacogdoches ISD is led by a highly experienced Interim Superintendent, Sandra Dowdy. Sandra has 39 years of experience at all levels of public education, including 27 years in district-level leadership and four years as Region 13's Texas Center for District and School Support. She is well positioned to lead Nacogdoches ISD in this TTIPS initiative and to ensure achievement of all objectives on time and within budget. The TTIPS opportunity is a good fit for Nac ISD as it focuses on transforming student achievement at Fredonia Elementary School. The district, its senior leadership team, and the leaders of Fredonia Elementary stand together in focused intention that the learning community excels and is no longer recognized for its failures but for its successes. With this vision in mind, this TTIPS grant initiative will be known as **Fredonia Achieves!**.

Sense of Urgent Need for Change

After several struggling years in which Fredonia Elementary failed to meet standards, the school now has a new Principal (as of May 2016) who is aligned with the district's vision for reform of the Fredonia Elementary learning community, curriculum, staff commitment to excellence, and student achievement results. With an intensive TTIPS-supported focus on school improvement, Nacogdoches ISD is confident the school can exit its Priority/Focus status by the end of the funding period and has designed the elements of this program to address multiple needs in teacher training and support, curriculum and instructional materials, parent and community involvement/support, technology integration, and a structured reward system for all leaders, teachers, and support staff at this school.

High Expectations for Results

As is reflected in the narrative, budget, choice of supports and resources, and flexibilities to be granted to Fredonia Elementary's leaders, as well as in the newly structured bonus system, which recognizes and rewards teachers and others for their hard work and achievements, Nacogdoches ISD is dedicated to the complete transformation of this school and its members. Over the four years of this TTIPS grant period, **Fredonia Achieves!** will result in higher student achievement, meeting and exceeding state standards through the intentional and unrelenting application of high-quality, research-based professional development, curriculum, and instructional resources to turn the campus results around.

The joint district/campus TTIPS planning team selected the **Early Learning Intervention model** to guide **Fredonia Achieves!**, and Nacogdoches ISD and Fredonia Elementary leaders will not rest until their high expectations are fulfilled in reality. With support from much-needed TTIPS funding, they will deploy existing and new resources, including additional instructional and support staff, to accomplish their vision.

| | For TEA | Use Only |
|---|---|----------------------|
| *************************************** | Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| | Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Operational Flexibilities that will be Afforded the Campus in a Reform Effort

Operational flexibilities to be afforded Fredonia Elementary's leaders include an extended summer program to supplement and extend the bilingual education program. This will be evident in a six-week, full-day summer initiative that is open to all students, from rising Kindergartners through rising fifth graders, regardless of their home language or academic ability. Additional flexibilities include hiring instructional staff to support daily instruction, ensure effective Special Education and ELL modifications, collect and review student data, and provide on-site professional development as required. The addition of a campus social worker, guidance counselor, and parent/community liaison will support the social and emotional well-being of students and families as well as improve parent engagement, involvement, and education--all in support of increasing student attendance and academic success. Fredonia Achieves! will also provide for self-selected professional development for each teacher so they can match research-based, high-quality programs to their areas of individual need and interest.

Perhaps the most unique feature of **Fredonia Achieves!** is the way in which staff will conduct outreach to and involve parents in their children's education. Noticing that the parent resource center was underutilized due to transportation and childcare barriers, Fredonia Elementary's Parent/Community Liaison will take its *mobile parent resource center* into the community, meeting and involving parents where they live—in or near their apartment complexes, churches, and community centers.

As the project progresses and school leaders gather and review feedback from teachers, staff, students, parents, and community members, they will have the opportunity to create new flexibilities to meet identified needs. The bottom line is that Nacogdoches supports Fredonia Elementary's success and will collaborate with school leaders, the Project Coordinator, and school staff to implement strategies and activities that work and get results.

Finally, TTIPS funding for **Fredonia Achieves!** will support a wide variety of professional development, including training in data gathering and disaggregation from various diagnostics and assessments (STAAR, TPRI, and others); improving school climate through the next level of Positive Behavior training; and in extending the use of existing curriculum and technology resources. as well as effectively using new materials for reading, writing, math, and science. The planning team has thoughtfully and carefully designed a comprehensive school reform program that leverage existing foundations and extends leader, staff, and students learning to new heights.

Organizational Structures/ Existing Capacity and Resources/ Communication Structures

Fredonia staff and students will have the benefit of support from the Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs, who also serves as the District Coordinator for School Improvement (DCSI). Fredonia Achieves! will also fund a full-time Project Coordinator to manage grant activities and report on project success as well as to provide direct services such as managing the after-school and summer learning programs, freeing school leaders from added job responsibilities and leaving them open to focus on teacher and student support and parent engagement.

In summary, Nacogdoches fully supports this TTIPS proposal as aligned with its vision for school success. Fredonia Elementary's school leaders are ready to incorporate the many benefits and supports proposed here, and the district is confident that this four-year program will have a significant impact on student attendance, student achievement, teacher quality, school climate, parent involvement, and teacher retention. Fredonia Elementary stands ready for success.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| | | | | Sched | ule #6Program Budget Summary | am Budget | Summary | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| County-distric | County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | 174904 | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | | | Amendment | Amendment # (for amendments only): | ents only): | | | The state of the s |
| Program auth | Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g) | EA, as ame | anded by the N(| CLB Act of 200 | 11, Section 1003 | 3(9) | *************************************** | MARKATA MANAGAMAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A | A feet from the | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O | |
| Grant period: | Grant period: February 1, 2017, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allocations | uly 31, 202 | 0, pending futu | re federal alloc | ations | Fund code: 276 | 276 | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O | | Annual An | |
| Budget Summary | ımary | | | | | | · Parking and in the control of the | | or or other property of the second of the se | | |
| Schedule # | Title | Class/ Object Code | Year 1 Program Cost | Year 1 Admin Cost | Year 2 Program Cost | Year 2 Admin Cost | Year 3 Program Cost | Year 3 Admin Cost | Year 4 Program Cost | Year 4 Admin Cost | Total Budgeted Cost across all |
| Schedule #7 | Payroll Costs (6100) | 6100 | \$251,364 | \$26,840 | \$879,151 | \$35,420 | \$748.320 | \$50.015 | \$675.364 | \$49.816 | \$2,716,291 |
| Schedule #8 | Professional and Contracted Services (6200) | 6200 | \$99,500 | \$0 | \$99,500 | \$0 | \$97,000 | \$0 | \$81,500 | 0\$ | \$377.500 |
| Schedule #9 | Supplies and Materials (6300) | 6300 | \$169,000 | \$0 | \$109,000 | \$0 | \$109,000 | \$0 | \$104,000 | \$0 | \$491,000 |
| Schedule #10 | Other Operating Costs (6400) | 6400 | \$14,449 | \$0 | \$14,949 | \$0 | \$14,949 | \$0 | \$14,949 | \$0 | \$59.294 |
| Schedule #11 | Capital Outlay (6600) | 0099 | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 80 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30.000 |
| Consolidate | Consolidate Administrative Funds | X Yes 🗆 No | □ No | | , | o de la companya del la companya de | A contract of the contract of | | | | 1 |
| | Total di | Total direct costs: | \$564,313 | \$26,840 | \$1,102,600 | \$35,420 | \$969,269 | \$50,015 | \$875,813 | \$49,816 | \$3,674,085 |
| | 5.7766% indiced costs (see note). | (see note): | ΝΆ | \$1,550 | N/A | \$2,046 | AN | \$2,889 | N/A | \$2,878 | \$9,363 |
| Grand total of bi each column): | Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column); | tries in | \$564,313 | \$28,390 | \$1,102,600 | \$37,466 | \$969,269 | \$52,904 | \$875,813 | \$52,694 | \$3,683,449 |
| | | | | | Administrative Cost Calculation | Cost Calculatio | Ш | | | ************************************** | |
| Enter the total g | Enter the total grant amount requested: | | | | | | | | | \$3,683,449 | |
| Percentage limi | Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%): | established f | or the program (E | 5%): | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O | a v y dada manamana maya ili ili ili ili ili ili ili ili ili il | | | | × .05 | |
| Multiply and rou This is the maxii | Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs. | hole dollar. I r administra | Enter the result. | ing indirect costs | denorthment of the second of t | www.min.co. | ANCHORONOMY TO THE WAY WELL AND THE STATE OF | | | \$183,704 | |
| NOTE: Indirect | NOTE: Indiract costs are calculated and raimbureced based on adulation when | mior be | one pased por | diprodo je do | | | 23 | | 11 1 | | , . |

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the grant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount.

Indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs.

NOTE:

- No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested.
- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from February 1, 2017 to July 31, 2017. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
 - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2017-2018, 2018-2019, and 2019-2020, are designed to be full implementation years.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |
| | | |

| | | WHITE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO | Schedule #7- | Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) | 00. | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Count | County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | 174904 | and a summary that have been a summary to the summa | ANTHRONO DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACT | | Amendment # (for | Amendment # (for amendments onlv): | 1V): |
| | Employee Position Title | Estimated # of Positions 100% Grant Funded | Estimated # of Positions <100% Grant Funded | Year 1 Amount Budgeted | Year 2 Amount Budgeted | Year 3 Amount Budgeted | Year 4 Amount Budgeted | Total Budgeted Costs across |
| Acade | Academic/Instructional | The second secon | | | | | | |
| - | Teacher (Dyslexia support) | | 0 | \$0 | \$55,000 | \$56.650 | \$58.350 | \$170,000 |
| 2 | Pre-K teacher for expansion program (year 1 only) | | 0 | \$45,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$45,000 |
| 3 | | | | | *************************************** | | | |
| Progra | Program Management and Administration | tion | | \$ | entro | | | |
| 4 | Project Coordinator (60% program; 40% admin) | | 0 | \$31,417 | \$66,950 | \$68,959 | \$71,027 | \$238,352 |
| 5 | THE | | The state of the s | S | | s. | G. | y |
| 9 | Tille | | | S | 9 | 5 | 9 4 | ÷ |
| Auxiliary | 117 | A the state of the | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | * | ÷ | * | , | 7 |
| 7 | Counselor | | 0 | \$22.917 | \$56,650 | \$58.350 | \$60.100 | \$198016 |
| æ | Social Worker | 1 | 0 | \$22,917 | \$56,650 | \$58,350 | \$60,100 | \$198.016 |
| 6 | Parent/Community Liaison | | 0 | \$20,833 | \$51,500 | \$53,045 | \$54,636 | \$180.015 |
| Other | Other Employee Positions | | The state of the s | | WATER THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP | | | 2121214 |
| 10 | Paraprofessionals for K classrooms (year 2 only) | C. | 0 | \$0 | \$66,000 | 80 | \$0 | \$66,000 |
| - | Educational Aide for parent involvement | | 0 | \$0 | \$4,800 | \$4,944 | \$5,092 | \$14,836 |
| 12 | Licensed Vocational Nurse | , | 0 | \$12,500 | \$30,900 | \$31,827 | \$32,782 | \$108,009 |
| 13 | | Subt | Subtotal employee costs: | \$110,584 | \$433,450 | \$332,124 | \$342,087 | \$1,218,244 |
| Substi | Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs | osts | | | | | | |
| 14 | 6112 Substitute pay | | | \$ | \$ | 49 | ↔ | 5 |
| 15 | 6119 Professional staff extra-duty pay | -duty pay | | \$104,333 | \$148,700 | \$148,700 | \$136.700 | \$538.433 |
| 16 | 6121 Support staff extra-duty pay | ' pay | | \$16,920 | \$21,456 | \$21,456 | \$14.976 | \$74.808 |
| 17 | 6140 Employee benefits | | | \$46,367 | \$147,965 | \$133,056 | \$68,417 | \$395,806 |
| 18 | 61XX Employee stipends (Bonus/incentive pay) Specify amounts and criteria to earn stipend: See page 41 | nus/incentive pay) | See page 41 | 0\$ | \$163,000 | \$163,000 | \$163,000 | \$489,000 |
| 19 | IS | Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, | a-duty, benefits costs | \$167,621 | \$481,121 | \$466,212 | \$383,093 | \$1,498,047 |
| 20 | Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extradular duty, benefits costs): | ree costs plus subtot du | otal substitute, extra- duty, benefits costs): | \$278,204 | \$914,571 | \$798,335 | \$725,181 | \$2,716,291 |

For budgeting assistance, see the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

| For TE | For TEA Use Only | THE THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS ASSESSMENT ASSE |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | Annanimina and a sea |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | THE |
| | | |

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5

| ## Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval Year 1 | County | County-district number or vendor (D: 174904 | AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE | | | Amendment # (for amendments only). | sonly): |
|---|------------------|--|--|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Professional and Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval indings, or land Year 1 Year 2 | NOTE: not con | Specifying an individual vendor in a grant application does not meet the appli stitute approval of a sole-source provider. | cable requirement | s for sole-source p | roviders. TEA's app | proval of such gran | t applications does |
| Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land Specify purpose: Specify purpose: | | | d Services Requi | ing Specific App | rovai | A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY | |
| Specify purpose: Specify purpose: A Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs Specify purpose: A Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs Specific approval: Professional and Contracted Services | | Expense Item Description | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total Budgeted |
| a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs \$0 \$0 a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs \$0 \$0 requiring specific approval: Professional and Contracted Services Books for eReaders Professional and Contracted Services Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study \$7,500 \$7,500 Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study \$7,500 \$7,500 Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) \$5,000 \$5,000 Bath manipulatives PD/training \$5,000 \$5,000 Positive Behavior PD/training-next level \$7,500 \$7,500 PD/training for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disagnostics, assessments, and data disagnostics, assessments, and data \$2,500 \$2,500 PD/training for various diagnostics, assessments, to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$2,000 \$2,500 \$1,000 each "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$1,000 each Showner for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data \$5,000 Sollware for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and | | Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land | | | Administration of the state of | | |
| a. Subtotal of professional and contracted services (6200) costs \$0 \$0 Professional and Contracted Services Professional and Contracted Services Professional and Contracted Services Belooks for eReaders Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) \$7,500 \$7,500 Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) \$5,000 \$5,000 Bovelopmental Writing PD Math manipulatives PD/Iraining \$5,000 \$5,000 Math manipulatives PD/Iraining \$7,500 \$7,500 POtraining for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction \$7,500 \$7,500 POhraining for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction \$7,500 \$2,500 "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$4,000 Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal \$ \$ \$ Contracted coaching for Principal \$ \$ \$ C. Remaining 6200—Professional | 6269 | Specify purpose: | () | σ | ₩ | ⇔ | 6 |
| Professional and Contracted Services Description of Service and Purpose Year 1 Year 2 eBooks for eReaders \$12,000 \$12,000 Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study \$7,500 \$7,500 Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) \$6,000 \$6,000 Boellopmental Writing PD \$5,000 \$5,000 RLA PD \$5,000 \$5,000 Math manipulatives PD/training \$7,500 \$7,500 Positive Behavior PD/training-reversiting for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction \$7,500 \$7,500 PD/training for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction \$2,500 \$2,000 "Mini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$20,000 "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$50 \$4,000 \$20,000 Contracted coaching for Principal \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal \$0 \$0 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services: \$99,500 \$0 Contracted coaching apport approach to the principal | | | \$0 | \$0 | 90 | \$0 | 0\$ |
| Description of Service and Purpose | | | and Contracted S | ervices | | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O | military was and a second seco |
| Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) | # | Description of Service and Purpose | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total Budgeted |
| Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study \$7,500 \$7,500 | Ψ. | eBooks for eReaders | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | \$9,000 | \$45,000 |
| Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) \$6,000 \$6,000 Developmental Writing PD \$5,000 \$5,000 RLA PD \$5,000 \$5,000 Math manipulatives PD/training \$5,000 \$5,000 Positive Behavior PD/training-next level \$7,500 \$7,500 PO/training for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction \$7,500 \$7,500 "Mini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$2,500 \$2,500 "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$20,000 Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 b. Subtotal of professional and contracted services: \$5 \$ c. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services: \$9,500 \$99,500 c. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do | 2 | Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$30,000 |
| Developmental Writing PD | 3 | Science PD (ex: Region 7, Cody Freeman) | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | \$3,000 | \$21,000 |
| RLA PD | 4 | Developmental Writing PD | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 | \$17,500 |
| Math manipulatives PD/training | 2 | RLA PD | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,000 | \$18,000 |
| Positive Behavior PD/Itraining—next level PD/Itraining for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction "Wini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$20,000 \$20,000 "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$4,000 Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data Contracted coaching for Principal \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services: \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services: \$5,000 \$5,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and Contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted coaching for Principal and Contracted Services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contract | 9 | Math manipulatives PD/training | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 | \$17,500 |
| PD/training for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction "Mini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$20,000 \$20,000 \$1,000 each "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$4,000 Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data Contracted coaching for Principal \$5,000 \$50,000 Contracted services: \$99,500 \$50,000 Contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,000 Contracted services that do \$0,000 \$0,00 | 7 | Positive Behavior PD/trainingnext level | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 | \$22,500 |
| "Wini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$20,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$4,000 each "Mini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$4,000 \$20,000 | 8 | PD/training for various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$10,000 |
| "Wini-grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$500 \$4,000 \$4,000 Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data Contracted coaching for Principal Contracted coaching for Principal Contracted coaching for Principal B. Subtotal of professional and contracted services: C. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do servi | 6 | "Mini-grants" for CONTENT-AREA teachers to use for self-directed PD - \$1,000 each | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$80,000 |
| Software for individualized student achievement support based on shaped actual scores/data Contracted coaching for Principal Contracted coaching for Principal Soft of the state of professional and contracted services: Contracted coaching for Principal Soft of the state of | 10 | -grants" for ALL OTHER teachers to use for self-directed | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$16,000 |
| Contracted coaching for Principal \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$000 \$000 \$000 \$00 | 11 | Software for individualized student achievement support based on diagnostic and actual scores/data | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$80,000 |
| b. Subtotal of professional and contracted services: c. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do not require specific approval: (Sum of lines a, b, and c) Grand total \$99.500 \$99.500 | 12 | Contracted coaching for Principal | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$20,000 |
| b. Subtotal of professional and contracted services: \$99,500 \$99,500 c. Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | 13 | | \$ | ક | \$ | 49 | S |
| Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services: \$99,500 \$99,500 \$99,500 Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | 14 | | ક | \$ | ક | 49 | 65 |
| Remaining 6200—Professional and contracted services that do \$0 s0 | | | \$99,500 | \$99,500 | \$97,000 | \$81,500 | \$377,500 |
| Grand total \$99.500 \$99.500 | | l | 0\$ | \$0 | \$0 | 0\$ | 0\$ |
| | | (Sum of lines a, b, and c) Grand total | \$99,500 | \$99,500 | \$97,000 | \$81,500 | \$377,500 |

For budgeting assistance, see the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

| Territoria del Control del Con | The state of the s | |
|--|--|--|
| For TEA | For TEA Use Only | |
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |
| | Wedge Political Communication Control of Con | |

RFA #701-16-105; SAS #198-17 2016--2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools (TTIPS), Cycle 5

Page 16 of 66

| | | Schedule #9—Supplies and Materials (6300) | olies and W | aterials (630 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---------------|-----------|---|---------------|--|--|
| Count | County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 | | | | Amendme | Amendment number (for amendments only): | for amendm | ients only): | |
| Suppl | Supplies and Materials Requiring Specific Approval | Approval | | | | | | AVIII TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P | |
| | Expens | Expense Item Description | | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total Budgeted Across all Years |
| etropet (Sanda), was distin | Technology Hardware- not capitalized | | | | \$ | \$ | ક | s | ⊌5 |
| iladdonnadond | # Type | Purpose | Quantity | Unit Cost | 8 | 49 | ₩. | 69 | 8 |
| | 1:1 eReader devices | For students to use to extend learning after school hours and at home | 110 per year | \$100 | \$11,000 | \$11,000 | \$11,000 | \$11,000 | \$44,000 |
| 63XX | iPads/tablets (class sets) 10% repacements in years 2-4 | Classroom research and productivity (students) | 30 tablets x 6 | \$500 | \$90,000 | 000'6\$ | 000'6\$ | \$9,000 | \$117,000 |
| ······································ | 3 | | | | ↔ | ь | & | 49 | s, |
| *************************************** | 4 | | | | υĐ | 8 | 49 | € | s, |
| | 5 | | | | မှ | ₩. | ક | €> | 8 |
| XXES | Technology Software- not capitalized | | | | 8 | \$ | ક | 8 | 8 |
| VVC0 | Specify type/purpose: | | | | ક્ક | \$ | 69 | 49 | 8 |
| e3XX | Textbooks/Curricular Materials | | | | 49 | € | \$ | 69 | 49 |
| ne n. eo e d'alle | Specify type/ purpose: | | And the second s | | 69 | € | 69 | 9 | \$ |
| 63XX | Supplies and materials to be used as student incentives | student incentives | | | & | 8 | ક | ક્ક | æ |
| wiii/lalenenee | Specify type/ purpose: | | | | \$ | € | S | မှ | \$ |
| Suppl | Supplies and Materials that do not Require Specific Approval | Specific Approval | | | | | | | |
| 9300 | Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval: | uire specific approval: | 19\$ | \$68,000 | 89,000 | \$89,000 | \$84 | \$84,000 | \$330,000 |
| e) elizael(evizione | | Grand total: | | \$169,000 | \$109,000 | \$109,000 | \$10 | \$104,000 | \$491,000 |

"Mini-grants" of supplies and materials for classrooms as in-kind incentive pay for teachers; up to \$500 per teacher; Supplies and materials for parent and community engagement: Family Reading Night; Family Science Night; etc. (instructional materials, marketing materials/printing/postage, meeting costs, etc.) - \$2000 per event x 4 per year locations (apartment complexes, community centers, etc.); Accelerated Reader books, etc. for classroom libraries, including for summer check-out; Fine Arts instructional materials; 6300 ITEMS: Writing: Teacher kits, student materials; Science: Teacher kits, student materials; Reading: Teacher kits, student materials; Math manipulatives and teacher kits; Materials for Mentor teacher training and beginning teachers (0-2 years experience); Materials for mobile parent resource center; parent involvement/training at community-based For budgeting assistance, see the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

| TOTAL | Control of the Contro |
|---|--|
| For TEA | For TEA Use Only |
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

| | Schedule #10-Other Operating Costs (6400) | Operating Costs | (6400) | | | |
|---------------|--|-----------------|----------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| County. | County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 | | | Amendment number (for amendments only): | (for amendments of | ınıy): |
| | Expense Item Description | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total Budgeted Across all |
| 6411 | Out-of-state travel for employees. Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Out-of-State Travel Justification Form. | \$9,310 | \$9,310 | \$9,310 | \$9,310 | \$37,240 |
| 6412 | Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations. Specify purpose: | €9 | ↔ | φ. | € | & |
| 6412/ 6494 | Educational Field Trip(s). Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Educational Field Trip Justification Form. | ₩ | ક્ક | У | ₩. | ·s |
| 6413 | Stipends for non-employees other than those included in 6419 | 8 | 8 | \$ | \$ | <i>y</i> |
| 6419 | Non-employee costs for conferences. Requires authorization in writing. | 49 | \$ | ₩. | \$ | y, |
| 6411/ | Travel costs for officials such as Executive Director, Superintendent, or Local Board Members. Allowable only when such costs are directly related to the grant. Must be allowable per Program Guidelines and must attach Out-of-State Travel Justification Form, if applicable. | υ | ₩ | φ. | ω | v |
| 64XX | Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses Specify name and purpose of council: Specify types of costs: | v | ω | G | 49 | s, |
| 6495 | Cost of membership in civic or community organizations Specify name and purpose of organization: Specify purpose of membership: | சு | € | G | € | us. |
| Subtot | Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval: | \$ | ક | ₩ | ₩ | ₩. |
| Rema | Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval: | \$5,139 | \$5,138 | \$5,639 | \$5,638 | \$22,054 |
| | Grand total: | \$14,449 | \$14,448 | \$14,949 | \$14,948 | \$59,294 |

DESCRIPTION;

Out of state travel for up to 6 leachers per year to attend regional or national conferences such as NCTM, NSTA, CAST, CAMT, and others - assumes 3 days/3 nights In-state travel (mileage) for Principal, School Leadership Team, and Teachers to observe exemplary schools and classrooms in the district and for Mobile Parent Center activities in community-based locations

In-state travel for up to 6 teachers per year to attend regional/state educational conference (ex: CAMT, and CAST and others) In-state travel for 3 staff to attend required school leadership trainings for TTIPS grant - assumes 2 days/2 nights

For budgeting assistance, see the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

| For TEA | For TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

| | 301160 | 116 #11-6 | Schedule #11—Capital Outlay (6600) | 3600) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 | | | | Am | Amendment number (for amendments only) | r (for amendmer | its only): |
| # Description and Purpose | Quantity | Unit Cost | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total Budgeted Across all |
| 6669—Library Books and Media (capitalized and controlled by library) | ntrolled by li | brary) | | A STATE OF THE STA | - PARAMETERS AND | | 0 |
| | N/A | N/A | \$ | \$ | 8 | \$ | \$ |
| 66XX—Computing Devices, capitalized | | | ANIMANA MANAMANA MANAMANA MANAMANA MANAMANA | William III A de | William Control of the Control of th | ************************************** | |
| 2 | | æ | \$ | 9 | 9 | \$ | G |
| 3 | | ₩ | ક્ક | ક્ક | 8 | \$ | 69 |
| 4 | | & | မ | 6 | 49 | \$ | 9 |
| 5 | | ₩ | ₩ | 4 | ↔ | 9 | S |
| 9 | +8105-011- | မ | ક્ક | æ | 8 | \$ | G |
| 7 | | 8 | æ | • | 49 | \$ | \$ |
| 8 | | ₩ | ₩ | ↔ | \$ | \$ | 9 |
| 66XX—Software, capitalized | Vest Verreinaria respectation de la compression della compression | MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF | tjetje grgani, stateki kirketjetiski kartateran samorara momentara samora. | | THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH | | |
| 6 | | 6 | 8 | 49 | 45 | \$ | \$ |
| 10 | | ⇔ | s | 4 | ક | s | \$ |
| | | S | \$ | es | ₩ | € | \$ |
| 12 | | \$ | \$ | ક્ક | \$ | ₩. | 49 |
| 13 | | 8 | s | | 65 | \$ | 5 |
| 66XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles | | | | | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | | Manufacture de destaction de la company de l |
| 14 Developmental Centers | 9 | \$5,000 | \$30,000 | ક | \$ | \$ | \$30,000 |
| 15 | | 8 | \$ | æ | 69 | \$ | 49 |
| 16 | | \$ | 69 | ь | \$ | \$ | 4 |
| 17 | | \$ | \$ | ક | 8 | \$ | s |
| 18 | | \$ | \$ | ક્ક | ક | \$ | s |
| 19 | | 6 | \$ | ક્ક | \$ | ₩. | 4 |
| 20 | | æ | \$ | & | \$ | s | 4 |
| 6XX—Capital expenditures for additions, improvements, or ordinary repairs and maintenance) | | lifications to | o capital asset | s that materiall | modifications to capital assets that materially increase their value or useful life (not | value or usefu | l life (not |
| 21 | Майуууу үчүй түйүн кашуунун кайуунаан каманаан каманааны шили | | \$ | \$ | \$ | φ. | \$ |
| | Ō | Grand total: | \$30,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,000 |
| | | | | | | | |

For budgeting assistance, see the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

| For TEA | For TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

Page 19 of 66

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| Category | Number | Percent | Data Source |
|--|--------|---------|---|
| Total student enrollment | 668 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| African American student enrollment | 230 | 34.4% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Hispanic student enrollment | 315 | 47.2% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| White student enrollment | 102 | 15.3% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Asian student enrollment | 10 | 1.5% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Economically disadvantaged student enrollment | 628 | 94.0% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Limited English proficient (LEP) student enrollment (ELL) | 216 | 32.3% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Special Education student enrollment | 47 | 7.0% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Disciplinary referrals | 52 | | 2015-2016 PEIMS report #425 |
| Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension | 34 | | 2015-2016 PEIMS report #425 |
| Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension | 24 | | 2015-2016 PEIMS report #425 |
| Disciplinary placements in DAEP | 0 | | 2015-2016 PEIMS report #425 |
| Disciplinary referrals for Truancy | 0 | | 2015-2016 PEIMS report #425 |
| Attendance rate | | 97.1% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12) | | DNA | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12) | | DNA | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| STAAR / EOC met 2016 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator) | 52 | 45% | TEA 2016 Accountability Summary Report. |
| STAAR / EOC met 2016 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator) | 64 | 55% | TEA 2016 Accountability Summary Report. |
| ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2015, percent students Tested | | DNA | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2015, percent At/Above Criteria | | DNA | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage) | DNA | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage) | DNA | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Graduates from Class of 2014 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE) | | DNA | 2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The campus has had two different principals during this time period with a campus leadership team and teacher staff turnover rate that averaged 60%. In the past three years, 60% of all teachers have had 10 years of experience or less. Fredonia Elementary began a bilingual program in 2013-2014. The program was phased in over a three-year period, in which there have been two different district Bilingual Directors. In 2015-16, 60% of Fredonia's bilingual teachers transferred to the magnet dual language campus in the district.

Student/School Demographics

The following table shows three years of demographic trends:

| INDICATOR | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Enrollment | 462 | 559 | 555 |
| African American | 16.2% | 16.8% | 16.6% |
| Hispanic | 61.9% | 63.0% | 65.0% |
| White | 18.8% | 17.5% | 15.7% |
| Asian | 0.9% | 0.4% | 0.4% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 88.1% | 91.1% | 90.8% |
| English Language Learners (ELL) | 43.3% | 47.9% | 50.1% |
| Students Served by Special Education | 4.3% | 6.3% | 6.7% |

Enrollment at Fredonia Elementary has increased by 20% 2012-13 to 2014-15, and the highest-need populations (economically disadvantaged, ELL, and those served by Special Education) have all increased as well. **During all three of these school years, Fredonia Elementary was rated as "Improvement Required."** Student demographics have shifted as well, with more Hispanic students enrolling and fewer White and Asian students. The population of students served by Special Education supports has risen significantly (from 4.3% to 6.7%)/

If these trends continue, Fredonia Elementary will enroll even more students from low-income families and more students in need of academic and other Special Education services and programs.

Community Demographics

2010 Census data (American FactFinder) for the primary zip code in which Fredonia Elementary is located (75961) reveals that the **median household income is \$29,413**—46.7% less than the Texas median income of \$55,200 and 48.2%\$ less than the US median income of \$50,500. Further, 44.5% of households earn less than \$25,000 a year. The percent earning less than \$25,000 a year rises to 61.8% for nonfamily households.

Education levels in this community are equally challenging. Although only 14.6% of the total population aged 18-24 are "less than high school graduate," this number is heavily skewed toward men: 25.4% of men (as opposed to 8.8% of women) have less than a high school diploma. Roughly a quarter of people (23.9%) in this age group are high school graduates (30.9% of men and 20.2% of women). Of the remainder, just over half (52.5%) have some college or an Associate's degree (43.7% of men; 57.2% of women), and only 9% have a Bachelor's degree or higher (no men; 13.9% of women).

For all individuals over the age of 28, 65.8% are high school graduates or higher, leaving more than one-third (34.2%) who are not high school graduates. **An astonishing 29.1% of individuals in this zip code live below the poverty level** –1.6 times the 17.6% in poverty in Texas and almost twice the 15.4% in poverty in the US.

The teachers and staff of Fredonia Elementary and Nacogdoches ISD need additional resources to address the pressing academic and social issues of this high-needs population. The entire learning community will benefit greatly from TTIPS funding for this project, to be known as *Fredonia Achieves!*.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| Category | Number | Percent | Data Source |
|--|---|---------|---|
| Total Staff | 61.7 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Teachers | 43.5 | 83.5% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Professional Support staff | 6.0 | 9.7% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 3.2% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Educational Aides | 10.2 | 16.5% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| African American Teachers | 5.0 | 11.5% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Hispanic Teachers | 0.0 | 0.0% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| White Teachers | 37.5 | 86.2% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Asian Teachers | 0.0 | 0.0% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Beginning Teachers | 6.9 | 15.8% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Teachers with 1-5 Years Experience | 13.0 | 29.8% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Teachers with 6-10 Years Experience | 12.0 | 27.6% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Teachers with 11-20 Years Experience | 8.0 | 18.4% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Teachers with over 20 Years Experience | 3.7 | 8.4% | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average salary- Beginning Teachers | \$38,501 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average salary- Teachers with 1-5 Years | \$39,322 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average salary- Teachers with 6-10 Years | \$41,400 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years | \$46,881 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Average salary- Teachers with over 20 Years Experience | \$51,936 | | 2014-2015 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance |
| Staff with less than a bachelor's degree | 16 | 35.6% | 2016-17 HR report |
| Staff with Bachelor's degree as highest level attained | 23 | 51.1% | 2016-17 HR report |
| Staff with Master's degree as highest level attained | 7 | 13.2% | 2016-17 HR report |
| Staff with Doctoral degree as highest level attained | 0 | 0.0% | 2016-17 HR report |
| | · I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | A |

NOTE: Information on the last four lines of the table above is for ALL staff, 45 positions total, not just teachers.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Staff Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about campus staff that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program. Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data related to campus staff seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Fredonia Elementary became Improvement Required (IR) in 2012-2013. The campus has had two different principals during this time period with a campus leadership team and teacher staff turnover rate that averaged 60%. In the past three years, 60% of all teachers have had 10 years of experience or less.

Fredonia Elementary began a bilingual program in 2013-2014. The program was phased in over a three year period, in which there have been two different district Bilingual Directors. In 2015-16, 60% of Fredonia's bilingual teachers transferred to the magnet dual language campus in the district.

The following table shows student demographics as compared with teacher demographics for the past three years:

| INDICATOR | 201 | 2-13 | 201 | 3-14 | 2014-15 | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| INDICATOR | Students | Teachers | Students | Teachers | Students | Teachers | |
| African American | 16.2% | 15.6% | 16.8% | 15.4% | 16.6% | 15.9% | |
| Hispanic | 61.9% | 15.6% | 63.0% | 15.4% | 65.0% | 6.4% | |
| White | 18.8% | 68.7% | 17.5% | 69.2% | 15.7% | 63.9% | |
| American Indian | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | |
| Asian | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | |

In 2012-13, the student population was majority Hispanic, but the staff was majority White, and there was only one Hispanic teacher for every four Hispanic students enrolled. By the third year, the population of Hispanic teacher had dropped by half while that student demographic continued to increase. The proportions of African American students and teachers, however, have remained both equal and stable over time.

Demographics that reflect a mis-match between students and teachers points to potential school climate and culture issues for the entire campus. When students do not see a teacher population that mirrors theirs, the relationship between teachers, school staff, and families are impacted and can even affect student attendance and absenteeism (Holt and Gershenson, 2015), which can set students back academically and put them at risk for future truancy. Evidence also suggests that teachers have higher subjective assessments of same-race students (Meier & Stewart, 1992; Dee, 20015; Ouazad, 2014). Additionally, research by Gershenson, Holt, and Papageorge (2015) finds that "nonblack teachers of black students have significantly lower expectations than do black teachers. These effects are larger for black male students and math teachers." Their findings add to a growing literature on the role of limited information in perpetuating educational attainment gaps. Research by Boser, Wilhelm, and Hanna (2014) also found that teachers have significantly lower expectations for the educational attainment of socioeconomically disadvantaged and racially minority students.

The bottom line is that teacher expectations and beliefs play an important part in shaping student outcomes and academic performance, though most districts and schools are not highly aware of this impact, and many are not actively recruiting minority or underrepresented teachers and staff.

A portion of TTIPS funding for Fredonia Achieves! will be directed toward improving school climate and culture, which includes increasing staff awareness of the potential effects of expectation bias. Training funds will also support improved cross-curricular instruction and project-based learning, which aims to make connections among and between subjects for students and help them build and transfer knowledge across all content in an active and engaging way.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| | \$ | Schedul | e #12—l | Demogr | aphics a | nd Part | icipants | s to Be S | Served v | with Gra | ınt Fund | s (cont. |) | |
|--|----|---------|---------|--------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|---|----------|----|-------|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part 5: Students to Be Served with Grant Funds. Enter the number of students in each grade to be served under the grant program. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PK (3-4) | К | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| 46 | 63 | 59 | 71 | 51 | 53 | 67 | | | | | *************************************** | | | 410 |

Part 6: Teachers to Be Served with Grant Funds.

Enter the number of teachers in each grade to be served under the grant program.

In indicating numbers for Teachers, duplicate counts are permitted. For example, if a teacher instructs sections of 3rd, 4th and 5th grades, that teacher should be counted for each of those grade levels. It is understood that this might elevate the total count of teachers on this table. The actual, unduplicated number of teachers is captured in the Staff Demographics-Data table.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| | PK | к | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|---|
| - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | 23 | l |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

For this TTIPS grant initiative to be successful, **Nacogdoches administrative and instructional leaders** formed a joint TTIPS planning team comprising:

- District leaders: The Interim Superintendent, the Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs
 (who also serves as the District Coordinator for School Improvement) the District Director of Curriculum, and the
 Coordinator of GT, Rtl, and Testing; and
- Fredonia Elementary leaders and staff (Principal, Assistant Principal, selected lead teachers).

This team met in various configurations 2-3 times weekly in August and early September 2016 and consulted with district data personnel for the latest, most up-to-date demographics, socio-economic data, and test results to ensure that planning for this initiative reflected the needs of Fredonia Elementary's at-risk students, their parents, families, and teachers.

This comprehensive needs assessment process included a review of the following:

- The Nacogdoches ISD needs assessment process and resulting annual report, which captures data around
 eight strategies related to the Long-Term Strategic Planning process, prioritized on the likelihood of helping the
 district and campuses meet the STAAR and EOC accountability standards;
- TAIS improvement plan and a review of progress toward achieving TAIS goals;
- STAAR and MAP* test scores (*MAP is no longer used in Nacogdoches ISD);
- Internal review of local student achievement;
- Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS);
- TAPR data regarding special populations;
- · Internal review of current academic program, gaps, and needs;
- Teacher and school leader evaluations:
- Internal review of available funding sources;
- Informal review of inventory (instructional supplies and materials, hardware/software, books and reading materials, etc.);
- · Local demographic data, socio-economic status;
- Informal surveys/interviews with teachers, district and campus support staff; and
- Informal interviews with students, parents and business/community partners

In order to coordinate efforts, streamline the planning process, and ensure efficiencies in reporting, this application aligned language and metrics from Fredonia Elementary's TAIS plan with additional metrics for the TTIPS grant period.

As noted in the Board-approved Turnaround Plan for Fredonia Elementary:

In the last three years, STAAR (3-5) reading scores have improved from 57% (2013) to 60% (2015). We also have evidence of improvement in 3-5 state assessment science results: 35% met standard (2013), 32% met standard (2014), and 45% met standard (2015). STAAR (3-5) results have decreased for math and writing. State assessment results for mathematics include 61% met standard (2013) and 52% met standard (2014) and 47% met standard (2015). State assessment results for writing include, 46% met standard (2013), 41% met standard (2014) and 39% met standard (2015). We have been unable to retain a sufficient number of highly qualified teachers and leaders to support and grow the increasing population of new teachers and teachers in new positions. Students in Grades 3-5 have a three-year pattern of low growth in reading and math as measured by Index 2 progress standards. Fredonia has experienced a significant increase in the number of Economically Disadvantaged and English Language Learners.

Based on this needs assessment which revealed a lack of foundational learning in the core content areas which increased as students progressed through the grades, coupled with the district's commitment to expand its early education (pre-K) program, the planning team voted unanimously to implement the Early Learning Intervention model to ensure a solid foundation for learning in the upper grades.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

District/Campus Actions to Seek and Consider Input

In Nacogdoches ISD, stakeholder involvement and input from administrators, teachers, parents, students, and others is sought routinely as a matter of course.

As indicated on page 25, the comprehensive needs assessment process for **Fredonia Achieves!** included the following sources for stakeholder input:

- · Teacher and school leader evaluations;
- Informal interviews with teachers; forums and faculty meetings;
- Informal surveys/interviews with school leaders, teachers, district and campus support staff;
- Input from teachers, parents, and community members via Fredonia Elementary's site-based decision-making committee; and
- · Informal interviews with older students and parents.

Plans for Meaningful Ongoing Family/Community Involvement

In addition to weekly faculty/staff meetings to gather input and disseminate information on project results and modifications, a grant funded Parent/Community Liaison will support Fredonia Elementary leaders in engaging families and community members in the implementation of **Fredonia Achieves!** and other school operational and instructional decisions through the following avenues:

- Monthly site-based decision-making committee meetings, parent meetings, use of the mobile parent resource center;
- Quarterly communications with business and community partners and family engagement in literacy, math, and science nights; and
- Annual Title | surveys.

Furthermore, Fredonia Elementary has been conducting more outreach to parents as its focus on improving academics has expanded. This outreach takes place through local churches and community centers. There is also a community mentor group whose members mentor students and volunteer w/teachers, and their input is valued and considered on a regular basis.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #14—Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| # | Title | Role/Function in Grant | Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications | | |
| 1. | District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) (required) | Oversee project implementation at the district level and ensure all aspects are on track and students are progressing; approve professional development (PD); facilitate PD as needed/required; serve on the Project Management Team; serve as liaison from the school to the district/Executive Team; and provide other support to school, leadership team, and teachers as appropriate; | Experienced facilitator, monitor, and evaluator of district, campus, and program improvement planning activities; articulate communicator and presenter with a proven ability to interact successfully with diverse populations; self-directed educational professional with exceptional organizational and implementation abilities; experienced in performance-based and data validation monitoring processes | | |
| 2. | Interim Superintendent | Provide ongoing support in the areas of curriculum development, teacher technical assistance, professional development, materials acquisition, and program development; Support DCIS, Principals, and Project Coordinator with expertise on managing large grant projects; guide overall project implementation | Over 39 years' experience as a public school teacher, leader, and Assistant Superintendent of academics, curriculum, and instruction prior to assuming the Interim Supt role; demonstrated experience achieving high standards and managing others to reach rigorous achievement targets; demonstrated experience managing and advising the implementation of large grant projects. | | |
| 3. | Fredonia Elementary Principal | Oversee school-based implementation of TTIPS; coordinate TTIPS activities with other district and school activities/initiatives; evaluate teacher performance; exit poorperformers; ensure timely project reviews and evaluation reports | 12 years' experience in pubic schools as a teacher, summer school teacher facilitator, curriculum specialist, curriculum and instructional coach, and now as principal; Bachelor's in Business Administration of Accounting; Master's in Educational Leadership; Principal certification | | |
| 4. | Mentors for teachers with 0-2 years' experience | Support new and beginning teachers in implementing effective instructional and operational strategies; build competence and confidence in teachers; improve thru retention | Prior exemplary experience as a classroom teacher; demonstrated ability to lead and coach others to achieve performance targets; excellent people skills; Bachelor's degree req | | |
| 5. | Project Coordinator (Full- time position paid with TTIPS) | Ensure day-to-day implementation of project activities; coordinate project budget management; complete project reports; serve in both program delivery (50-60%) and grant administration (40-50%) | At least 3 years' experience as a school or district project manager, leader, or teacher with all applicable degrees and certifications; prior experience managing large state and/or federal grants preferred | | |
| | Dyslexia Support Teacher (To be hired) | Deliver with fidelity a highly structured and systematic dyslexia program; plan, monitor, and evaluate outcomes for targeted students | Teacher certification with required endorsements for assignment; Master's degree preferred. | | |
| 6. | Social Worker, Guidance Counselor (To be hired) | Support the overall health, well-being, and safety of students in support of improved academic achievement; intervene in non-academic circumstances and provide direct support or referrals to outside agencies for students and families; | At least 2 years exemplary experience in social work, guidance and counseling, or nursing (respectively); prior experience working with children in public school settings required; all degrees and certifications applicable to each respective role required. | | |

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff).

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Desired Qualifications, Experience, # Title Role/Function in Grant Certifications Support growth and development of the campus Principal; advise on workload balance, Previous exemplary public school teaching and task delegation, and the streamlining of school leadership experience required; demonstrated Mentor/coach policies and procedures; identify additional PD ability to lead others to success and for new opportunities for the Principal; advise on achievement of rigorous standards; previous 1. principal successful implementation of academic and experience coaching leaders to measurably operational initiatives; support Principal's improve student achievement results; Master's evaluation process and exiting of underdegree in Education, Educational Leadership. performing teachers (in accordance with and/or Curriculum required. district procedures) External Previous exemplary public school teaching consultant/ Provide specialized PD in one or more of the experience with demonstrated record of trainer/ PD following: content-area curriculum and success required; previous experience provider 2. interventions; use of student-accessible. coaching teachers to improve efficiency and (Region 7, hands-on tools and strategies to improve efficacy required; Bachelor's degree in content-area instruction: Education required: Master's degree in experts, and Education or Educational Leadership preferred others) 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.

| ForTEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

In the unlikely event that a Fredonia Elementary must be replaced during the TTIPS grant period—or if they are promoted or leave the district—Nacogdoches ISD will manage the succession in one of the following preferred ways:

- Promote a highly qualified Assistant Principal from Fredonia Elementary;
- Promote a highly qualified Assistant Principal from another campus in Nacogdoches ISD; or
- Transfer a highly qualified Principal from another campus in Nacogdoches ISD.

Under certain circumstances, Nacogdoches ISD leaders may elect to hire from outside the district, but promoting from within is the preferred method, especially for campuses such as Fredonia Elementary that need additional intensive interventions to exit Priority or Focus School status.

In addition to supporting new or beginning school leaders (those with 0-2 years of experience) Nacogdoches ISD is expanding its program of leadership development opportunities, known as the **Nacogdoches ISD Aspiring Administrator's Course**, to support staff who are new to the school leadership role.

This yearlong course begins in September. Monthly sessions run through April. The course includes the following modules, each of which has multiple sub-categories that are covered during each professional development opportunity:

- A. **Student Management** (Due Process/Intervention, Appeals Process, Three Different Tracks of Discipline, Update on Applicable Laws Regarding Student Management/Code of Conduct, Alternative Programs and the Referral Process, Communication, Truancy Issues and Process);
- B. **Human Resources** (Teacher Evaluations, The Hiring Process, The Terminations Process, Contracts and Documentation, The Grievance Process, Substitutes, Employee Leave and Worker's Compensation, Diversity);
- C. Safety and Security (District Overview, How Security and Student Services Work Together);
- D. Athletics (Eligibility, Scheduling and Vertical Teaming, Marketing, Coaching and Assignments);
- E. Gifted and Talented (Nature and Needs, Program Options);
- F. Area Assistant Superintendents (Leading vs. Managing, Holding Effective Parent Conferences, How to Evaluate Good Instruction, Complaint Policy, Communication, The District's Culture); and
- G. Fine Arts (Difference Between Curricular and Extracurricular, Eligibility, and Fundraising).

This course is designed to steep administrators in the Nacogdoches ISD district and campus culture and offers support throughout the administrator's first year on the job. School leaders with 1-2 years of prior experience may return to participate in selected modules and also receive coaching and support from Assistant Superintendents to grow in their leadership abilities.

Other incentives to help ensure all project participants remain committed to the project's success include the **Fredonia Achieves!** bonus structure, which rewards teachers, leaders, and other staff for the current year's successes but will only be paid to returning staff. This feature of the bonus plan will assist greatly with teacher retention and reducing unwanted teacher attrition.

Changes in other key personnel such as the Project Coordinator or grant-funded staff will be managed in a similar way by promoting someone from within the school or district who has a demonstrated record of success in their current role or in a preparatory role.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Nacogdoches ISD is committed to the **responsible implementation and sustainability** of this and every project it undertakes and realizes that this commitment extends beyond the resources to be acquired with grant funds to include a much broader range of **human, financial, infrastructure, facility, volunteer, and in-kind resources and support**. Managing resources effectively includes continually assessing, updating, and communicating the need for such resources and monitoring and adjusting project activities to bring them into alignment with these needs.

Increasing Capacity

Fredonia Elementary leaders know that the key to success for at-risk students is high-quality teaching and learning. To attain the necessary student results, personnel involved in **Fredonia Achieves!** will have access to best practices professional development to grow in the profession of teaching.

For this TTIPS initiative, professional development to build and increase teacher/staff capacity includes:

- Contracted coaching and support for the 2 Principals:
- Mentor teachers and related training for mentors and new/beginning teachers (0-2 years' experience);
- Training in various diagnostic and assessment instruments and data disaggregation to drive instructional improvements;
- Monthly planning/team-building (out of school time);
- Specialized professional development (PD) and related materials to increase academic performance in reading; science; developmental writing; reading/language arts; math (use of manipulatives); (this includes attendance at professional conferences such as NCTM, NSTA, CAST, CAMT, and others);
- · Training in the next level of Positive Behavior; and
- Self-selected PD for content-area teachers and all staff

To **increase student achievement**, software licenses to be purchased with TTIPS funding and sustained with other funding (see below) include:

- Academic content (books, apps, and programs) for eReaders and tablet devices;
- · Software for individualized diagnostic, assessment, and instructional support across the content areas; and
- Online cross-curricular resources for research and content-related study

Additional supports to increase student achievement include hiring one Dyslexia teacher, one Social Worker, two Counselors, and one Parent/Community Liaison, hiring three Educational Aides for intervention support in math and reading, and providing additional funding to extend time on campus for one Parent Involvement Aide. The services of these staff will improve students' social and emotional health, well-being, and safety in support of **increased academic performance**.

Continued Funding and Support for Sustainability

Sources to support project sustainability include the following:

- Federal
 - Existing Title I funds
 - Title IIA, Title IIIA
- State
 - Per-pupil allotment (ADA, WADA)

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Nacogdoches ISD is committed to the efficient, streamlined integration of existing and future initiatives to improve student achievement. Development of Fredonia Elementary's Texas Accountability Intervention System (TAIS) school improvement plan laid the foundation for this TTIPS initiative, **Fredonia Achieves!**, and established these four challenging, yet attainable, performance measures, which Fredonia Elementary will continue to pursue during the TTIPS funding period.

- 1. 55% of 1st and 2nd grade students will read on grade level or above based on TPRI data (BOY, MOY, EOY);
- 2. 60% of 3rd through 5th grade students will pass the STAAR reading exams at Phase in 1 level 2 standards;
- 3. 55% of students will pass STAAR writing; and
- 4. 55% of students will pass the 5th grade STAAR science test in 2018.

For each performance measure, Fredonia Elementary has set and will update quarterly milestones to track their progress toward achieving each goal. Once these goals are achieved, the school will be back on track to exit Priority School status.

Additional targets include the performance measures in the bonus pay structure, found on page 41, which was designed to reward all school leaders, teachers, support staff, and operational staff commensurate with their role and direct level of impact. Academic improvement objectives for the bonus plan include student academic achievement, the school's TEA rating, and the number of distinction designations achieved. Performance measure targets will be finalized during the TTIPS pre-implementation period (by July 1, 2017), and annual targets will be refined and expanded throughout the grant period.

Part 2: Data Collection. Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Nacogdoches ISD will continually assess the Fredonia Elementary learning community's academic, social, behavioral, professional development, and instructional leadership needs to ensure that implementation of the Early Learning Intervention model positively impacts teacher and school leader effectiveness, operational flexibility, student achievement, and parent and community engagement and support. Adjustments will be made as needed. Data sources will include: diagnostic, benchmark/interim, and end-of-year student assessments; informal surveys/interviews with school leaders, teachers, district and campus support staff; weekly feedback for teachers on instructional and classroom management effectiveness; informal surveys/interviews with parents and students; and formative and summative teacher and school leader evaluations. A site-based decision-making committee composed of teachers, parents, and community members and led by the Fredonia Elementary school leaders will support the TTIPS Project Coordinator and district support staff in continually assessing needs and modifying program activities as appropriate.

As the TTIPS project period progresses, Fredonia Elementary staff and district leaders will collect data for formative assessment to note progress toward campus performance goals and TTIPS performance measures. The estimated schedule of overall data collection is as follows:

| Collection Schedule | Data/Evaluation Type |
|--|---|
| Daily Attendance | |
| At least monthly Parent Involvement, teacher access to/use of data; impact of extended learning time; | |
| | Discipline/Behavior results/referrals; teacher walkthrough/observation data |
| Each grading cycle Student grades and internal common assessment results (core content areas) | |
| At least quarterly STARR benchmarks and actual test results; participation in professional development or coaching (quantity and quality); teacher quality/effectiveness; school leader quality and effectiveness | |
| BOY, MOY, EOY | TPRI, ESTAR, and MSTAR diagnostics and assessments |
| Annually | Student and teacher demographics; teacher retention/attrition; Title I survey |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sc | hed | ule #15 | Proi | ect E | valuat | ion (cont.) |
|----|-----|---------|------|-------|--------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

The Fredonia Achieves! TTIPS Project Coordinator (PC) will communicate with Nacogdoches district leaders (Assistant Superintendent for Academic Services, Executive Director for Accountability and Federal Programs, etc.) at least weekly during the to monitor the planning, implementation, and achievement of all annual performance milestones of this TTIPS initiative. The PC will complete and deliver written Activity/Progress Reports to TEA as required. All planned activities and contracted services will be monitored and evaluated through observations, surveys, and formal and informal evaluation methods to determine their merits and effectiveness in achieving project aims. Any observations and recommendations will be closely monitored to enable the leadership team to determine the extent to which project weaknesses, problems, or concerns are addressed in a timely manner as the implementation proceeds. With such close monitoring and ongoing evaluation of activities, activity schedules, participant satisfaction and participation levels, the initiative will have the opportunity to continuously improve.

In addition to other duties previously described, the PC will complete and deliver written Activity/Progress Reports TEA in order to determine: a) The extent to which activities proposed in this application are implemented as planned; b) The extent to which the activities/strategies achieve the goals and objectives of the project; and c) The nature and extent of project impact on project participants/beneficiaries.

Major Ongoing Monitoring/Improvement/Adjustment Tasks:

- Involve teachers, grade level lead teachers, instructional coaches, and parent/community leaders in the planning process;
- Utilize instructional leadership expertise of school leaders and Curriculum and Instruction Coordinators (CICs);
- Finalize plan for monitoring (revisit annually);
- Conduct BOY, MOY, and EOY evaluation of academic programs, grades PK − 5;
- Collect student achievement data (TPRI, STARR, etc.);
- Analyze data and report to TEA in requested formats; and
- Review financial/cost/accounting procedures and complete interim reports.

Feedback for ongoing refinement of the initiative will be obtained from participants at least quarterly to ensure that the initiative attains its maximum implementation effectiveness to facilitate the full achievement of all targeted process and product goals/objectives.

The following general schedule of communication will be observed throughout the funding period:

- Weekly—Fredonia Achieves! PC, school leaders, instructional coaches, and teachers communicate about implementation of project activities as needed; teachers meet to adjust instructional activities and communicate with students, parents, and families as appropriate.
- Monthly—PC consults with site-based decision-making committee to monitor and adjust grant activities as necessary; school leaders schedule teachers and support staff to attend and participate in professional development.
- Quarterly—PC (as supported by Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs, data clerks, and
 others) completes evaluation reports as required by TEA and disseminates results to the Fredonia Elementary
 learning community via board meetings; teachers are evaluated by school leaders; school leaders are evaluated
 by the Interim Superintendent;
- Semi-annually—PC and/or school leader administers surveys to parents, teachers, and students to gauge satisfaction with the Early Learning Intervention model implementation and collect feedback about student and family needs; mid-year and end-of-year student assessments are administered to monitor academic progress based on benchmark data collected in the fall.
- Annually—Site-based decision-making committee convenes to evaluate implementation and adjust timeline of
 activities for subsequent year, as necessary.

The PC will gather and present data collected from teachers and other staff members, including data on student achievement to Nacogdoches ISD senior leadership and others as appropriate in order to seek guidance throughout the funding period. Additionally, project stakeholders, including parents, will have weekly opportunities to provide input, make suggestions about, and participate in project activities throughout the project period.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- · Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

When Nacogdoches ISD selects professional development resources, consultants, or other external service providers, the process centers on need and quality. The Interim Superintendent (and other senior leaders) and school leaders first identify areas in which they would like their teachers and schools to develop, and then they research the availability, results, and backgrounds of those who are considered most effective both in content knowledge as well as presentation of content.

For **Fredonia Achieves!**, Nacogdoches ISD and Fredonia Elementary principals will seek out external providers for expert professional development in principal coaching as well as specialized PD in content-area curriculum and interventions (in math, reading/language arts, science, and developmental writing); the use of student-accessible, hands-on tools and strategies (ex: math manipulatives) to improve instruction; training in TPRI and STAAR diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation to improve instruction; and the next level of Positive Behavior training. In addition, teacher self-selected PD must meet internal standards for high-quality service providers prior to teacher registration in each respective program.

Once district and school leaders have identified potential professional development partners, they screen the PD service providers' work for quality and may choose to conduct deeper interviews to ascertain the providers' understanding of the issues/topics/processes.

Nacogdoches ISD leaders share information with each other about effective and ineffective external providers, thereby building a collective institutional knowledge of effective external resources to meet a myriad of developmental needs for teachers, administrators, and business staff.

| For TEA | Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |
| | j | |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- · Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The first step in ensuring external service provider quality and success in meeting project deliverables is to create a detailed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Nacogdoches ISD and the external service provider to be contracted.

Then, the district's Assistant Superintendent for Academic Services will meet with the external provider to work on a project plan that includes:

- · Gathering baseline data to evaluate impact;
- Setting milestones to stay on track for implementation and feedback loops;
- · Identification of roles and responsibilities; and
- · Scheduling ongoing check-ins to assess progress.

Nacogdoches ISD's Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs (also the District Coordinator for School improvement, or DCSI) will ensure effective implementation of **Fredonia Achieves!** at the district level. The Interim Superintendent will directly supervise and manage the Principal, who will, in turn:

- · Oversee the activities of existing staff;
- Oversee the activities and integration of staff to be hired with grant funds; and
- Ensure effective coordination of external service providers and timely accomplishment of their agreed-upon deliverables.

The Project Management Team, led by the Project Coordinator, will make adjustments to program components (which may or may not directly impact external provider contracts) after careful consideration of input and teacher feedback collected from surveys and other supporting data.

With the project plan and metrics to measure progress toward implementation, Fredonia Elementary staff will be able to have constructive conversations about the timeliness and effectiveness of the providers' service. Should there be a negligible result or no results after rounds of input and feedback, the contract and agreement between the district and the provider will be revoked.

Please refer to page 25 for additional information on data sources Nacogdoches ISD and Fredonia Elementary review on a regular basis, which will be used, in part, to determine the effectiveness of external service providers and their impact on student achievement and school climate.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|----------------------|--|--|
| On this date: | | |
| By TEA staff person: | | |
| | | |

| | Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | listrict number or vendor ID: 174904 Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | |
| Statutory Requirement 3: Pre-Implementation Year. List and describe primary activities planned for the Planning/ Pre-Implementation period in the grant to occur from February 1, 2017-July 31, 2017. These activities shall be designed to prepare the district and campus for stronger full Implementation than would be possible without Pre-Implementation. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | | | |
| 1. | Interim Superintendent notifies the Fredonia Elementary learning community of grant award | | | |
| 2. | Principal, as supported by district leaders, hires/designates the Fredonia Elementary TTIPS Project Coordinator | | | |
| 3. | Principal and Project Coordinator convene weekly campus-level project management team meetings and works with district leaders to convene monthly district-level project management team meetings | | | |
| 4. | Principal and Project Coordinator review grant goals, objectives, budget, and timeline with parents, teachers, school support staff, district support staff, and community partners as appropriate | | | |
| 5. | Project Coordinator gathers and updates baseline data on student demographics, student attendance, student achievement | | | |
| 6. | Project Coordinator gathers and updates data on staffing needs, teacher retention data, evaluations, etc. | | | |
| 7. | District HR advertises new positions to be hired with grant funds | | | |
| 8. | District HR and Principal identify, hire, and onboard new staff | | | |
| 9. | Parent/Community Liaison outlines plan for aggressive and effective parent/community outreach efforts, complete with timeline of major activities and identification of resources | | | |
| 10. | Project Coordinator meets with district supervisor to identify coordinating initiatives and plan for effective use of supplementary TTIPS funding to ensure budgetary efficiencies | | | |
| 11. | Project Coordinator reviews updated data against stated project need; orders/procures grant-related materials to meet stated needs | | | |
| 12. | Project Coordinator establishes procedures for evaluating training and receiving/considering feedback from project participants (students, teachers, parents, community members/partners, and others) | | | |
| 13. | District project management team identify and contract with specific external consultants/service providers and schedule trainings | | | |
| 14. | Principal and Project Coordinator, as supported by Interim Superintendent and other district staff, schedule teacher training | | | |
| 15. | Summer training occurs as scheduled in advance of the 2017-18 school year | | | |
| 16. | Pre-implementation activities are complete; 2017-18 school year begins | | | |
| 17. | | | | |
| 18. | | | | |
| 19. | | | | |
| 20. | | | | |

| - | For TEA | Use Only |
|---|---|----------------------|
| - | Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| *************************************** | Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Title I, Title II funds:

- · District-level support;
- · Campus-level curriculum; instructional coaches;
- Interventionists;
- Instructional materials and supplies;
- Computer technology
- Field lessons for students
- Some professional development (PD)

Title II

- District-provided PD and support (on-campus assistance)
- Contracted PD consultants (provide training and campus teacher observations with feedback and give recommendations for curriculum and support materials)

Bilingual Funding

- SIOP training (ELL instructional strategies)
- ELPS (English Language Proficiency Standards) resources
- Bilingual/ESL certification reimbursements to teachers for testing and certification

| The Executive Director of Accountability and Federal Programs/DCSI will work with Nacogdoches ISD Business Office personnel, as well as with the Fredonia Principal and TTIPS Project Coordinator, to ensure effective coordination among and between current initiatives and TTIPS-funded staffing and programs. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sch | nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requ | uirements (cont.) |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vender | | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Requirement 6: Rural LEA Flexibility Rural LEA applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION or TURNAROUND model have the <u>option</u> to propose a modification to one element of the model. If proposing to modify one element of the model under the Rural LEA Flexibility option, please respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a modification/not eligible to propose a modification shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | |
| Element in the model selected for modification: | N/A – Nacogdoches ISD is not a rural distr | ict |
| Description of the modification: | N/A | |
| How intent of the original element remains/will be met: | N/A | |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor. Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Nacogdoches ISD uses the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS)

The T-TESS Teacher Self-Assessment and Goal Setting Form asks teachers to

The T-TESS Teacher Self-Assessment and Goal Setting Form asks teachers to identify standardized and non-standardized data that informs them about student needs and to identify data to inform them about their own professional growth. Teachers and administrators can select any data that would be deemed appropriate to meet the needs of the campus and of the individual teacher.

Describe the data sources for student growth accounted for in the teacher and principal evaluation system. Include how student growth is weighted in evaluation: Data sources include state assessment data (ex: STAAR), TELPAS, TPRI, content-based assessments, common benchmarks, unit assessments, criterion- or norm-referenced tests, classroom assessments (formal and informal), individualized education plans (IEPs), student work, journals, etc.

Although student growth as a measure of teacher effectiveness under T-TESS will not be implemented statewide until the 2017-18 school year, Nacogdoches ISD will consider student growth when awarding bonuses for the Fredonia Elementary school leaders and staff with funds from this TTIPS initiative (see page 41). Future plans include the consideration of weighting student growth as 20% of the total T-TESS rating. As implementation of T-TESS progresses, Nac ISD will review the four options for measuring student growth as part of this system:

1) student learning objectives (SLOs); 2) portfolios; 3) district-level pre- and post-tests; and 4) value-add measures (VAM) for teachers in state-tested subjects.

Describe how the evaluation system design includes multiple observation-based assessments and ongoing collections of professional practice: The T-TESS Rubric includes 4 Domains and 16 Dimensions. T-TESS domain and dimension rubrics include specific descriptors of practices and 5 performance levels; Distinguished, Accomplished, Proficient, Developing, and Improvement Needed. For T-TESS, at least one formal observation that takes place for a minimum of 45 minutes so the entire lesson cycle can be observed in order to appropriately collect evidence for all the domains. Ideally, multiple formal and informal observations are used throughout the year to provide teachers with timely feedback, allowing them to make efficient and contextual professional development choices that lead to refinement in their practices. The post-observation conference provides another opportunity for both appraisers and teachers to provide additional evidence that may impact ratings. Summative scores are calculated by averaging the rating scores of each domain.

In addition to the formal observation, the Principal and other staff supervisors can conduct **walkthroughs** to observe if teachers are consistent with teaching strategies. These walkthroughs serve as an additional method to collect evidence, though the data is not mathematically averaged into the final T-TESS ratings.

Finally, teachers may request a second formal observation under the T-TESS model

Describe how the evaluation system was developed with teacher and principal involvement: T-TESS was developed by a steering committee comprised of teachers, principals, and representatives from higher education and educator organizations. They began their work in the fall of 2013 by updating teacher standards and, through the spring of 2014, continued with building a rubric tied to the standards. Although the Texas Comprehensive Center at SEDL and the Texas Education Agency (TEA) facilitated the process, T-TESS is a system designed by educators to support teachers in their professional growth.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: Educator Reward and Removal

Applicants proposing a **TRANSFORMATION**, **TEXAS STATE-DESIGN**, or **EARLY LEARNING** model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The table below shows the structure for rewarding Frederic Flome

The table below shows the structure for rewarding Fredonia Elementary educators who have increased student achievement during the project period. The general "XX%" placeholders will be changed to firm targets during pre-implementation following a review of current baseline data.

Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model: DESCRIPTION (WHO/WHAT/TO WHAT EXTENT) AMOUNT Principal: Campus reaches STAAR goals of XX% met standard in Math, XX% met standard in Reading, XX% met standard in Science, XX% met standard in Writing \$4,000 Principal: Campus attains/maintains TEA rating of "Met Standard" \$2,000 Project Coordinator, Assistant Principal, and Curriculum and Instructional Coaches: Campus reaches STAAR goals of XX% met standard in Math, XX% met standard in Reading; XX% met standard in Science, and XX% met standard in Writing - \$750 per subject area per staff \$3,000 Teachers in K-2: XX% of enrolled students score at or above grade level in reading and math as measured by TPRI, ESTAR, and MSTAR \$2,500 Teachers in STAAR tested grades: 90% of enrolled students meet standard for their content area/s \$2,500 Teachers/Librarian/Counselor/Nurse: Fredonia Elementary campus reaches STAAR goals of XX% met standard in Math, XX% met standard in Reading, XX% met standard in Science, XX% met standard in Writing (\$500 per subject area per staff noted) \$2,000 Instructional/Clerical Paraprofessionals: Fredonia Elementary campus reaches STAAR goals of XX% met standard in Math, XX% met standard in Reading, XX% met standard in Science, XX% met standard in Writing \$1,000 Campus support staff (custodians, cafeteria workers): campus reaches STAAR goals of XX% met standard in Math, XX% met standard in Reading, XX% met standard in Science, XX% met standard in Writing \$500

Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice:

Existing protocols and interventions to support struggling teachers include:

- 1. Increased observations and feedback;
- 2. One-on-one coaching and support from the Principal, Curriculum and Instruction Coach, and/or other district academic specialist;
- 3. Additional professional development specific to identified area(s) of weakness; and
- 4. Required observations of effective teachers on campus or elsewhere in the district.

With TTIPS funding, an additional intervention/support will be added: Mentor Teachers for instructional staff with 0-2 years of experience

Describe the criteria established for educator removal:

After multiple formal and walkthrough observations, documentation of teacher performance, and allowing sufficient time to improve without further adversely impacting student achievement, poor-performing teachers are removed from their position and replaced with more effective/capable instructional staff.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

| Texas Education Agency | | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|--|--|---|
| Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) | | |
| County-district number or vend | | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Applicants proposing a TEXAS implemented for all students in High School (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A". | the school, which is consistent with the Te review the description of the Texas State-I to the prompts in the table below. Applica | mprehensive school improvement strategy, xas concept for developing an <i>Early College</i> Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and nts not proposing a Texas State-Design model |
| Identify the IHE partner that will be in place for the early college high school development and implementation. Include the title/role of the IHE primary point of contact, and essential agreements reached at this point: | N/A | |
| Describe the sustainable source of funds or fee waiver plan that will enable students to access college courses, TSI assessments, textbooks and college fees; without cost to the student: | N/A | |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sc | nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) | |
|---|---|--|
| County-district number or vend | | |
| Statutory Requirement 11: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an <i>Early College High School</i> (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas State-Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. | | |
| shall indicate below with "N/A". | | |
| Response is limited to space p | rovided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | |
| Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2017-2018 school year to sixty (60) by the start of 2018-2019 school year: | N/A | |

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 12: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas State-Design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2017. N/A to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

exist, describe how the campus and LEA will ensure all students benefitting from the grant are enrolled at the eligible grantee campus.

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 Amendment # (for amendments only): Statutory Requirement 13: High-quality preschool programming Applicants proposing the EARLY LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver an elementary program that meets the definition included in program federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. Please review the description of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning Intervention model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. Fredonia Elementary currently implements a full-day pre-K program delivered completely by teachers from Nacogdoches ISD (no external partner). TTIPS funding will Describe the schedule and allow expansion of this program to include 3 Teacher Assistance for Kindergarten (pre-K staffing pattern for the fullalready has TAs). Currently, there are 3 full-day pre-K teachers and 3 teacher day preschool that will meet assistants instructing a total of 46 pre-K and K students. The teacher: student ratio will standards for high remain well under 1:20 to ensure adequate supervision and safety. qualification of staff, required child-to-staff ratios, required The pre-K report card is aligned with and correlated to the Texas Education Agency's class size limitations and Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines. Nacogdoches ISD makes use of TEA's web-based comparable staff salaries. training on these Guidelines, and Curriculum and Instructional Coaches, as well as district-level support for the effective implementation of high-quality early learning programs for pre-K and K. The district uses the Frog Street Assessment Report and CIRCLE Progress Monitoring Report to assess progress of early learners. Indicate if the campus will partner with communitybased provider or off-site campus to deliver key components of the model; such as staffing or facilities needed to deliver a gradelevel or other educational No external partnerships program. If such a partnership will

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 14: High-quality preschool programming (continued)

Applicants proposing the EARLY LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver an elementary program that meets the definition included in program federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. Please review the description of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning Intervention model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Nacogdoches ISD uses the Frog Street pre-K curriculum (FSPK)—a comprehensive, research-based program created by early childhood experts that integrates instruction across developmental domains and early learning disciplines.

The cornerstones of the program are:

- An extensive integration of theme, disciplines, and domains;
- Social and Emotional development;
- · Differentiated instruction;
- Equity of English and Spanish materials and instruction; and a
- · Joyful approach to learning.

Describe how the preschool program proposed is: research-based; vertically aligned in math, science, literacy, language through the elementary grades; and develops socio-emotional skills:

Innovative approaches in FSPK incorporate current research based instruction for literacy included in the *National Early Literacy Panel and Beyond*, 2013. In addition, the instructional models in FSPK target strategies to ensure long-term memory, alertness, and information processing based on brain science research. FSPK also incorporates STEM education, an approach to teaching and learning that integrates the content and skills of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Instruction in FSPK supports multicultural relevancy with materials and resources for languages other than Spanish. English Language Learner instruction in FSPK incorporates research in second language acquisition with classroom strategies for the Natural Approach, Total Physical Approach and Cooperative Learning. Materials and resources include pronunciation keys for five languages. FSPK also features distinct home/school/community connections linked to thematic units and the scope and sequence.

Describe the student assessment data that will be examined for the preschool and kindergarten classes that inform continuous improvement and next-grade readiness:

Fredonia Elementary will use CLI Engage diagnostic and assessment instruments as well as the TPRI, ESTAR, and MSTAR, which are administered at the beginning, middle, and end of the school year (BOY, MOY, EOY). Use of these instruments, coupled with training in data collection, disaggregation, and analysis to inform continuous improvement and next-grade readiness, teachers and staff can better individualize instruction for each child and can measure the impact of their teaching on these consistent developmentally appropriate instruments without the stress of overtesting.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sch | nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) | |
|---|--|--|
| County-district number or vend | | |
| Statutory Requirement 15: Screening and Selecting Staff Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must measure the effectiveness of staff to work in the turnaround environment. In screening all existing staff, no more than 50% may be rehired to work in the turnaround model. Please review the description of requirements for educator screening and selecting staff under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | |
| | | |
| Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model: | N/A | |
| Indicate the number of | | |
| existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation: | N/A | |
| Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model: | N/A | |
| Indicate the number of new staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation: | N/A | |
| Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires: | N/A | |

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sch | nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Req | uirements (cont.) |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vend | | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Requirement 17: Whole-School Reform Model Developer Applicants proposing the WHOLE-SCHOOL REFORM model must implement an evidence-based model in partnership with a whole-school reform model developer. Please review the description of requirements under the Whole-School Reform model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Whole-School Reform model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | |
| Name the model developer with whom you will partner to implement the whole-school reform: | N/A | |
| Describe the record of success the model developer has shown in implementing whole-school reform strategies: | N/A | |
| Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected. Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling. Include key findings showing impact on student achievement. Additionally, provide citations for the study publications. | N/A | |

| For TEA Use Only Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| exas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SA |
|---|---|
| Schedule #16—Responses t | o Statutory Requirements (cont.) |
| county-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| nanagement organization (CMO), or education managem elect a provider who will restart the organization. Please in nodel in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. | reopen the school under a charter school operator, charter ent organization (EMO); using a rigorous review process to review the description of requirements under the Restart orous process to be used to select the restart organization; and anticipated date for school reopening/conversion. |
| | N/A |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| exas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory F | Requirements (cont.) |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Requirement 19: Enrollment in higher achieving schools Applicants proposing a CLOSURE model must enroll students who attended the school in a higher achieving school within reasonable proximity to the closed school. These applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, and timeline they will undertake within one year in order to transition students to a higher achieving school in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | |
| | |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

Amendment # (for amendments only): TEA Program Requirement 1: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- IMPROVE THE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to improve the instructional program in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to improve the instructional program.

|) | כאל היו וסווני זו סיוומות לא היותו לא לאותו היותות אותו היותות היותות היותות היותות היותות היותות היותות היותות | |
|--|---|--|
| | Critical Success Factor: Improve the Instructional Program | |
| | Planned intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
| ** | Provide support to teachers needing improvement in one or more professional areas; provide beginning teacher mentoring program for teachers with 0-2 years of experience to improve teacher retention and instructional/organizational competence; providing year-long contracted coaching support for the new Principal | \$1,000 stipends for mentor teachers to support new teachers in improving their ability to deliver the instructional program. See PAYROLL pages in budget |
| | *************************************** | Budget items as described at left will provide individual, small group, and campus-level PD to improve the instructional program. See PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTED SERVICES and OTHER OPERATING COSTS pages in budget. |
| <u> </u> | | Budget items as described at left will free teachers and staff to design campus-specific interventions and programs to improve the instructional program as well as to provide students with extra time in instructional activities. See Extra-duty Pay line in PAYROLL pages |
| ************************************** | Hire 2 Counselors, 1 Social Worker, and 1 Parent/Community Liaison to provide wraparound services and referrals to outside agencies for students and families in support of improved student health, safety, and academic performance; Parent Liaison will also provide parent training, education, and outreach to extend/expand community partnerships; Provide materials for a mobile parent education and resource center (activities to take place at community-based locations, such as apartment complexes, community centers, etc.) | Budget items as described at left will provide additional staff to support delivery of the instructional program as described through their roles. See PAYROLL pages in budget for staffing information and SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget for related parent education and involvement items. |
| က် | Provide incentives to school leaders, teachers, and support staff who meet individual and school-wide academic performance goals | Budget items as described at left will reward staff for measurable improvements to the instructional program. See page 41 of narrative as well as PAYROLL pages in budget. |

| For TEA Us | \ Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

Amendment # (for amendments only): TEA Program Requirement 2: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE TEACHER QUALITY County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase teacher quality in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase teacher quality.

| Increase Teacher Quality | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Critical Success Factor: | |

| | Planned Intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
|------------|---|---|
| | Provide beginning teacher mentoring program for teachers with 0-2 years of experience to improve teacher retention and instructional/organizational competence | \$1,000 stipends for mentor teachers will increase teacher quality by providing new teachers with the focused support of more experienced colleagues. See PAYROLL pages in budget. |
| <u> </u> | Provide teachers with specialized professional development (PD) and related materials to increase academic performance in reading; science; developmental writing; reading/language arts; math (use of manipulatives); (this includes supporting their attendance at professional conferences such as NCTM, NSTA, CAST, CAMT, and others) | Budget items as described at left will increase teacher quality through content-specific training as appropriate to assignment and grade level. See PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTED SERVICES and OTHER OPERATING COSTS pages in budget. |
| | Provide training in various diagnostics, assessments, and data disaggregation; and the next level of Positive Behavior. | Budget items as described at left will increase teacher quality through a focus on the targeted use of data to improve instruction and individualize student interventions. See PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTED SERVICES pages in budget. |
| 4. | Provide "mini-grants" that allow teachers to self-select PD that fits their content area and individual need | Budget items as described at left will increase teacher quality by providing selfselected PD opportunities for teachers in an area they have determined to improve. See PAYROLL pages in budget. |
| L O | Provide incentives to teachers who meet individual and school-wide academic goals | Budget items as described at left will reward teachers for increased quality of instruction and improved student achievement. See page 41 of narrative as well as PAYROLL pages in budget. |

| For TEA Use Only | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Amendment # (for amendments only): TEA Program Requirement 3: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase leadership effectiveness in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase leadership effectiveness.

| statuenia and a summara | Critical Success Factor: In | Increase Leadership Effectiveness | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| kalinianin winanainuin a | Plann | Planned Intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
| quae . | Implement year-long leader trai Superintendent; District Coordi supports school leaders. | Implement year-long leader training program in district led by the Interim Superintendent; District Coordinator for School Improvement (DCIS) supports school leaders. | No grant-related costs for district-level support. |
| 2. | Provide individualized leadersh school leadership roles and res coaching for the new Principal | Provide individualized leadership coaching and PD on topics appropriate to school leadership roles and responsibilities; provide year-long contracted coaching for the new Principal | Budget items as described at left will increase leadership effectiveness through 1:1 targeted, specialized support for the Principal. See PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTED SERVICES pages in budget. |
| 3. | Send school leaders to national, regional, and/or state-level school leadership conferences. | I, regional, and/or state-level academic and | Budget items as described at left will increase leadership effectiveness by allowing leaders to learn with and from others across the state and nation. See OTHER OPERATING COSTS (travel line items) pages in budget. |
| 4. | Support Principal in removing ineffective teachers and staff with district policies, observation and evaluation procedures support, and after allowing a reasonable amount of time to it | Support Principal in removing ineffective teachers and staff (in accordance with district policies, observation and evaluation procedures, coaching and support, and after allowing a reasonable amount of time to improve). | No grant-related costs for district-level support. |
| 5. | Reward school leaders for stud performance measures. | Reward school leaders for student academic growth and other key performance measures. | Budget items as described at left will reward leaders for increasing their effectiveness in ways that lead to improved student achievement. See page 41 of narrative as well as PAYROLL pages in budget. |

| For TEA Use Only | Jse Only |
|---|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | WAY THE PARTY OF T |

| | | DATA TO INFORM INSTRUCTION | I improvement initiatives shall be | ment. | dership, data-driven instructional | can increase academic performance for | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| EA Program Requirements | Amendment # (for amendments only): | uirements- INCREASE USE of QUALITY | equirements of this program, under which school | impactful to achieve continuous school improve | Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional | naintaining a positive school climate, campuses | |
| Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements | | ons and Resources to meet Model Rec | ased focus areas, aligned with the statutory n | t and investment in these focus areas is most | cal Success Factor. Through gains in Critical | olvement, efficient use of learning time, and n | |
| | County-district number or vendor ID: 174904 | TEA Program Requirement 4: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE USE of QUALITY DATA TO INFORM INSTRUCTION | Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be | planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. | Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. 7 | decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for | all students. |

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase use of quality data in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase use of quality data.

| | Critical Success Factor: Incr | Increase Use of Quality Data to Inform Instruction | truction |
|----------|--|---|--|
| | Planned | Planned Intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
| **** | Provide training in various diagnostics, assessments, and disaggregation; and the next level of Positive Behavior. | ostics, assessments, and data | Budget items as described at left will increase the use of quality academic and behavioral data by providing training as described. See PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTED SERVICES pages in budget. |
| <u> </u> | Collect quantitative student achievement data via standardized stanational assessments: STAAR, TPRI, and other instruments; Coll quantitative student achievement data via locally produced assess Track data via Eduphoria (internal data and shared drive) system. | Collect quantitative student achievement data via standardized state and national assessments: STAAR, TPRI, and other instruments; Collect quantitative student achievement data via locally produced assessments); Track data via Eduphoria (internal data and shared drive) system. | Project Coordinator salary (60% program delivery; 40% administrative activities) will fund a full-time position to support teachers and staff in increasing the use, access, and analysis of quality data as well as reporting data on school improvement to TEA. See PAYROLL pages in budget. |
| ri | Collect qualitative data via teacher/student/parent surveys, teacher and administrator observation and coaching, and/o participant feedback forms | Collect qualitative data via teacher/student/parent surveys, interviews, teacher and administrator observation and coaching, and/or program participant feedback forms | See above. |
| 4 | Measure the impact of PD training on student achievement | g on student achievement | See above. |
| က် | Provide incentives to teachers who meet individual and school-wic academic goals as measured by frequently collected, quality data. | Provide incentives to teachers who meet individual and school-wide academic goals as measured by frequently collected, quality data. | Budget items as described at left will reward teachers to generate improved student achievement through the increased use of quality data as a method for improvement. See page 41 of narrative as well as PAYROLL pages in budget. |

| For TEA Use Only | On this date: | By TEA staff person: | |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| For TI | Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | |

| | district fullible of vertice 17.17.204 | TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE LEARNING TIME |
|--|--|---|
|--|--|---|

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase learning time in order to achieve increased academic performance.
- · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention to increase learning time.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

all students.

| | The state of the s | |
|----|--|--|
| | Critical Success Factor: Increase Learning Time | |
| | Planned Intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
| 4 | Provide targeted core content instruction to at-risk and struggling students during after-school tutorial sessions | Budget items as described at left will increase learning time after school. See PAYROLL pages in budget. |
| ٧. | Provide targeted core content instruction to at-risk and struggling students during extended summer school program (all students, K-5 included; new 6-week program for K and 1; extended program for 2-5—one week beyond the required bilingual summer program) | Budget items as described at left will increase learning time during summer sessions as described. See PAYROLL pages in budget. |
| i. | Provide materials for a mobile parent education and resource center (activities to take place at community-based locations, such as apartment complexes, community centers, etc.); | Budget items as described at left will increase learning time by providing parents with methods and materials to use at home with their children. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |
| 4. | Provide supplies and materials for parent and community engagement (ex: Family Math Night, Reading Night, Science Night, etc.) | Budget items as described at left will increase learning time by involving parents and families in content-focused engagement activities and opportunities. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |
| rċ | Provide 1:1 devices for students to access high-quality reading and instructional materials outside of school time | Budget items as described at left will increase learning time by providing technology students can use to access instructional materials outside of school time (after school and summers) as described. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |

| For TEA Use Only | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

TEA Program Requirement 6: Interventions and Resources to meet Model Requirements- INCREASE PARENT/COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT Amendment # (for amendments only) County-district number or vendor ID: 174904

decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. all students.

- List the key interventions the campus will implement to increase parent/community engagement in order to achieve increased academic performance.
 - · Provide a description of grant costs named in the Budget Schedules, indicating how costs will support the intervention for parent/community engagement.

Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Increase Parent/Community Engagement Critical Success Factor:

| L | ſ | Pfanned Intervention | Description of Grant Costs to Support Intervention (Budget Narrative) |
|---|----|---|---|
| 014-04893-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | _ | Provide materials for a mobile parent education and resource center (activities to take place at community-based locations, such as apartment complexes, community centers, etc.) | Budget items as described at left will increase parent/community engagement by meeting parents and providing educational and engagement opportunities in locations close to their homes. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |
| | 2. | Provide supplies and materials for parent and community engagement (ex: Family Math Night, Reading Night, Science Night, etc.) | Budget items as described at left will increase parent/community engagement by involving parents and families in content-focused engagement activities and opportunities. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |
| - | က် | 1:1 technology engages families in learning | Budget items as described at left will increase parent/community engagement by providing technology students and parents can use to access instructional materials as described. See SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS pages in budget. |
| | 4 | Parents participate in school decision-making and committees | Project Coordinator salary (60% program delivery; 40% administrative activities) will increase parent/community engagement by inviting parents to participate in leadership roles as well as volunteer and academic/social engagement opportunities. See PAYROLL pages in budget. No grant-related costs for additional school- and district-level support. |
| ······································ | r; | | |

| For TEA | For TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

| Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 Amendment number (for amendments only): | | | | |
| No Barriers | | | | |
| # | No Barriers | Students | Teachers | Others |
| 000 | The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups | | | |
| Barrie | r: Gender-Specific Bias | | | |
| # | Strategies for Gender-Specific Bias | Students | Teachers | Others |
| A01 | Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| A02 | Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias | | | |
| A03 | Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias | | | |
| A04 | Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender | | | |
| A05 | Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender | \boxtimes | | |
| A06 | Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| A99 | Other (specify) | | | |
| Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | Students | Teachers | Others |
| B01 | Provide program information/materials in home language | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B02 | Provide interpreter/translator at program activities | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B03 | Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc. | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B04 | Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B05 | Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| B06 | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations | | \boxtimes | |
| B07 | Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity | | \boxtimes | |
| B08 | Seek technical assistance from education service center, technical assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other provider | | | \boxtimes |
| B09 | Provide parenting training | | | \boxtimes |
| B10 | Provide a parent/family center | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B11 | Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making | | | |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|----------|-------------|
| County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 Amendment number (for amendments only): | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.) | | | |
| # | Strategles for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | Students | Teachers | Others |
| B12 | Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school | | | \boxtimes |
| B13 | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities | | | |
| B14 | Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B15 | Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program | | | ⊠ |
| B16 | Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries | | | |
| B17 | Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents | | | \boxtimes |
| B18 | Coordinate with community centers/programs | | | \boxtimes |
| B19 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education | × | | × |
| B20 | Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color | | | |
| B21 | Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color | | | |
| B22 | Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B23 | Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and complaints | | | |
| B99 | Other (specify) | | | |
| Barrier: Gang-Related Activities | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Gang-Related Activities | Students | Teachers | Others |
| C01 | Provide early intervention | | | |
| C02 | Provide counseling | \boxtimes | | |
| C03 | Conduct home visits by staff | | | |
| C04 | Provide flexibility in scheduling activities | | | |
| C05 | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities | | | |
| C06 | Provide mentor program | | | |
| C07 | Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities | \boxtimes | | |
| | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | | | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | | | |

| Texas E | Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation | n (cont.) | | | |
| County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 Amendment number (for amendments only): | | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Gang-Related Activities (cont.) | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Gang-Related Activities | Students | Teachers | Others | |
| C08 | Provide community service programs/activities | | | | |
| C09 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences | | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | |
| C10 | Strengthen school/parent compacts | | | | |
| C11 | Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes | |
| C12 | Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs | \boxtimes | | | |
| C13 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education | | | | |
| C14 | Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents to deal with gang-related issues | | | | |
| C99 | Other (specify) | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Drug-Related Activities | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Drug-Related Activities | Students | Teachers | Others | |
| D01 | Provide early identification/intervention | | | | |
| D02 | Provide counseling | | | | |
| D03 | Conduct home visits by staff | | | | |
| D04 | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities | | | | |
| D05 | Provide mentor program | | | | |
| D06 | Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities | | | | |
| D07 | Provide community service programs/activities | | | | |
| D08 | Provide comprehensive health education programs | | | | |
| D09 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences | | | | |
| D10 | Establish school/parent compacts | | | | |
| D11 | Develop/maintain community partnerships | \boxtimes | | | |
| D12 | Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs | | | | |
| D13 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education | | | | |
| D14 | Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents to deal with drug-related issues | | | | |
| D99 | Other (specify) | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Visual Impairments | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Visual Impairments | Students | Teachers | Others | |
| E01 | Provide early identification and intervention | | | | |
| E02 | Provide program materials/information in Braille | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | | | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | | | |

| Texas E | Education Agency | Sta | indard Applic | ation System | (SAS) |
|---------|---|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Schedule #18—Equitable Access and | <u>Participation</u> | ្ទ (cont.) | | |
| Count | County-District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 Amendment number (for amendments only): | | | only): | |
| Barrie | r: Visuai Impairments | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Visual Impairments | | Students | Teachers | Others |
| E03 | Provide program materials/information in large type | | \boxtimes | | |
| E04 | Provide program materials/information on tape | | \boxtimes | | |
| E05 | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for impairment | /isual | | | |
| E06 | Provide training for parents | i | | | |
| E07 | Format materials/information published on the internet for ADA accessibility | | | | |
| E99 | Other (specify) | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Hearing Impairments | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Hearing Impairments | | | | |
| F01 | Provide early identification and intervention | | \boxtimes | | |
| F02 | Provide interpreters at program activities | | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| F03 | Provide captioned video material | | | | |
| F04 | Provide program materials and information in visual format | | | | |
| F05 | Use communication technology, such as TDD/relay | | | | |
| F06 | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for limpairment | nearing | | | |
| F07 | | | | | \boxtimes |
| F99 | 9 Other (specify) | | | | |
| Barrle | r: Learning Disabilities | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Learning Disabilities | | Students | Teachers | Others |
| G01 | Provide early identification and intervention | | \boxtimes | | |
| G02 | Expand tutorial/mentor programs | | \boxtimes | | |
| G03 | Provide staff development in identification practices and effecti teaching strategies | ve | | \boxtimes | |
| G04 | Provide training for parents in early identification and interventi | on | | | |
| G99 | Other (specify) | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints | ······························ | | | |
| # | Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constra | ints | Students | Teachers | Others |
| H01 | Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by s with other physical disabilities or constraints | tudents | \boxtimes | | |
| H02 | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies | | | \boxtimes | |
| H03 | Provide training for parents | | | | $\overline{\boxtimes}$ |
| H99 | Other (specify) | | | | |

| For | TEA Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA Use Only | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | | | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | | | |

Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents

Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents

Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents

Conduct home visits by staff

#

M01

M02

Others

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

Teachers

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

Students

| | For TEA. | Use Only |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Ì | Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| | Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

N99

#

P01

P₀₂

Other (specify)

and benefits

Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits

program activities and benefits

Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits

Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities

Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of

Others

冈

 \boxtimes

Students

 \times

Teachers

 \boxtimes

| iexas E | ducation Agency | Sta | поаго Аррію | ation System | (SAS) | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Pa | rticlpation | (cont.) | | | | | |
| County | -District Number or Vendor ID: 174904 An | nendment | number (for a | amendments | only): | | | |
| Barrie | r: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.) | | ····· | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Be | nefits | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| P03 | appropriate electronic media about program activities/penefits | | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | | | |
| P99 | | | | | | | | |
| Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities | | | | | | | | |
| # | Strategles for Lack of Transportation | | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| Q01 | Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiarie activities | s to | | | | | | |
| Q02 | Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school | | | | Ø | | | |
| Q03 | Conduct program activities in community centers and other neight locations | borhood | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| Q99 | Other (specify) | | | | | | | |
| Barrie | r: Other Barriers | | | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Other Barriers | | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | | | | |
| 299 | Other strategy | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 700 | Other barrier | | | П | г | | | |
| Z99 | Other strategy | | | | | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | П | [] | | | |
| 299 | Other strategy | | Ll | | | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | | | | |
| 233 | Other strategy | | L., | اسا | L_J | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | П | | П | | | |
| 200 | Other strategy | | <u> </u> | | L.J | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | П | | | |
| | Other strategy | | · | | LJ | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | П | | | |
| | Other strategy | | | L | | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | П | | | |
| | Other strategy | | 11 | | | | | |
| Z99 | Other barrier | | | | | | | |
| | Other strategy | | | _ | | | | |
| Z 99 | Other barrier Other strategy | <u>-</u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: | | | |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: | | | |